



ON FACTOR RELATIONS BETWEEN WEIGHTED AND NÖRLUND MEANS

G. CANAN HAZAR GÜLEÇ AND MEHMET ALI SARIGÖL

Abstract. By (X, Y) , we denote the set of all sequences $\epsilon = (\epsilon_n)$ such that $\sum \epsilon_n a_n$ is summable Y whenever $\sum a_n$ is summable X , where X and Y are two summability methods. In this study, we get necessary and sufficient conditions for $\epsilon \in (|N, q_n, u_n|_k, |\bar{N}, p_n|)$ and $\epsilon \in (|\bar{N}, p_n|, |N, q_n, u_n|_k)$, $k \geq 1$, using functional analytic techniques, where $|\bar{N}, p_n|$ and $|N, q_n, u_n|_k$ are absolute weighted and Nörlund summability methods, respectively, [1], [5]. Thus, in the special case, some well known results are also deduced.

1. Introduction

Let $A = (a_{nv})$ be an infinite matrix of complex numbers, $\sum a_v$ be a given infinite series with n th partial sum s_n and (u_n) be a sequence of nonnegative terms. Then the series $\sum a_v$ is called summable $|A, u_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see [16])

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n^{k-1} |A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s)|^k < \infty, \quad A_{-1}(s) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $A(s) = (A_n(s))$, the A -transform sequence of the sequence $s = (s_n)$, i.e.,

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} a_{nv} s_v$$

converges for $n \geq 0$. Note that if A is chosen as the Nörlund matrix (*resp.* $u_n = n$), then the summability $|A, u_n|_k$ reduces to the absolute Nörlund summability $|N, p_n, u_n|_k$ [5] (*resp.* the summability $|N, p_n|_k$, Borwein and Cass [2]), and also $|N, p_n|_1 = |N, p_n|$, Mears [9]. Further, if $p_n = \binom{\alpha+n-1}{n}$ and $u_n = n$, then the summability $|N, p_n, u_n|_k$ is the same as the summability $|C, \alpha|_k$ in Flett's notation [4]. By a Nörlund matrix we mean one that

$$a_{nv} = \begin{cases} p_{n-v}/P_n, & 0 \leq v \leq n \\ 0, & v > n, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Received December 27, 2017, accepted July 23, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 40C05, 40D25, 40F05, 46A45.

Key words and phrases. Sequence spaces, absolute Nörlund summability, absolute weighted mean summability, summability factors.

Corresponding author: G. Canan Hazar Güleç.

where (p_n) is a sequence of complex numbers with $P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n \neq 0$, $P_{-(n+1)} = 0$ for $n \geq 0$. Also, if $A = (a_{nv})$ is the weighted matrix (*resp.* $u_n = P_n/p_n$), i.e.

$$a_{nv} = \begin{cases} p_v/P_n, & 0 \leq v \leq n \\ 0, & v > n \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

then the summability $|A, u_n|_k$ reduces to the summability $|\bar{N}, p_n, u_n|_k$ (*resp.* the summability $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$, Bor [1]), where (p_n) is a sequence of positive numbers such that $P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, Sulaiman [22]. For example, for the summability $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$, the condition (1.1) may be stated as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n} \right)^{1/k^*} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} P_{v-1} a_v \right|^k < \infty.$$

Throughout this paper, k^* is the conjugate of $k > 1$, i.e., $1/k + 1/k^* = 1$, and $1/k^* = 0$ for $k = 1$.

For any real α and integers $n \geq 0$, we define

$$\Delta^\alpha \epsilon_n = \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} A_{v-n}^{-\alpha-1} \epsilon_v$$

whenever the series on right side of equality is convergent.

Let ϵ be a sequence and X and Y be two methods of summability. If $\sum \epsilon_n a_n$ is summable Y whenever $\sum a_n$ is summable X , then ϵ is said to be a summability factor of type (X, Y) and we denote it by $\epsilon \in (X, Y)$ [3]. The problems of summability factors dealing with absolute Cesàro and absolute weighted mean summabilities were widely examined by many authors (see [1-4], [8-11], [13-21]) *et al.* For example, for $\alpha \geq 0$, $k > 1$, the summability factors of type $(|C, \alpha|, |\bar{N}, p_n|)$, $(|C, \alpha|_k, |\bar{N}, p_n|)$, $(|C, \alpha|_k, |C, 1|)$ and $(|C, 1|_k, |\bar{N}, p_n|)$ were characterized by Mohapatra [11], Mazhar [8], Mehdi [10], Sarigöl and Bor [17] and Sarigöl [18], respectively. In a more recent paper, Sarigöl [13] has extended these classes to $\alpha > -1$ and arbitrary positive sequence (p_n) in the following form.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\alpha > -1$ and (p_n) be arbitrary sequence of positive numbers. Then, necessary and sufficient condition for $\epsilon \in (|C, \alpha|_k, |\bar{N}, p_n|)$, $k > 1$, is*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\alpha k^* + k^* - 1} \left(\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{r=m}^{\infty} A_{r-m}^{-\alpha-1} \frac{\epsilon_r}{r} P_{r-1} \right| \right)^{k^*} < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

2. Main results

The purpose of this study is to generalize Theorem 1.1 by using Nörlund mean in place of Cesàro mean. Hence we characterize both classes $(|N, q_n, u_n|_k, |\bar{N}, p_n|)$ and

$(|\bar{N}, p_n|, |N, q_n, u_n|_k)$, which gives us more than we need. In the special cases, some well known results are also deduced.

Before stating the theorems we recall the following lemmas which plays important role for the proof our theorems.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $1 < k < \infty$. Then, $A(x) \in \ell$ whenever $x \in \ell_k$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{nv}| \right)^{k^*} < \infty,$$

where $\ell_k = \{x = (x_v) : \sum |x_v|^k < \infty\}$ [13].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1 \leq k < \infty$. Then, $A(x) \in \ell_k$ whenever $x \in \ell$ if and only if*

$$\sup_v \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{nv}|^k < \infty,$$

[7].

Now we begin with the theorem characterizing the class $(|N, q_n, u_n|_k, |\bar{N}, p_n|)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let q_0 be a non-zero number, (u_n) be a sequence of positive terms and (C_n) be a sequence satisfying*

$$\sum_{v=0}^n Q_{n-v} C_v = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0 \\ 0, & n \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Then necessary and sufficient condition for $\epsilon \in (|N, q_n, u_n|_k, |\bar{N}, p_n|)$, $k > 1$, is

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_m} \left(\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left| \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{r=m}^n P_{r-1} \epsilon_r G_{rm} \right| \right)^{k^*} < \infty \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$G_{nr} = \sum_{v=r}^n C_{n-v} Q_v. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Let (t_n) and (T_n) be the sequences of Nörlund mean (N, q_n) and weighted mean (\bar{N}, p_n) of the series Σa_n and $\Sigma \epsilon_n a_n$, respectively, i.e

$$t_n = \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{v=0}^n q_{n-v} s_v = \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{v=0}^n Q_{n-v} a_v$$

and

$$T_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n (P_n - P_{v-1}) \epsilon_v a_v.$$

Then we define sequences $y = (y_n)$ and $\tilde{y} = (\tilde{y}_n)$ by

$$y_n = u_n^{1/k^*} (t_n - t_{n-1}) \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\tilde{y}_n = T_n - T_{n-1} = \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{r=1}^n P_{r-1} \epsilon_r a_r, \quad n \geq 1 \text{ and } \tilde{y}_0 = a_0 \epsilon_0$$

Then, $\epsilon \in (|N, q_n, u_n|_k, |\tilde{N}, p_n|)$ if and only if $\tilde{y} \in l$ whenever $y \in l_k$. On the other hand, since q_0 is a non-zero, there exists a sequence (C_n) satisfying (2.1) and therefore it follows that

$$t_n = \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{v=0}^n Q_{n-v} a_v \text{ if and only if } a_n = \sum_{v=0}^n C_{n-v} Q_v t_v.$$

Hence we get from (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \sum_{v=0}^n C_{n-v} Q_v \sum_{r=0}^v u_r^{-1/k^*} y_r \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n u_r^{-1/k^*} \sum_{v=r}^n C_{n-v} Q_v y_r = \sum_{r=0}^n u_r^{-1/k^*} G_{nr} y_r \end{aligned}$$

where G_{nr} is defined by (2.3), and so, for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{y}_n &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{r=1}^n P_{r-1} \epsilon_r a_r \\ &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{r=1}^n P_{r-1} \epsilon_r \sum_{m=1}^r u_m^{-1/k^*} G_{rm} y_m \\ &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{m=1}^n \left(u_m^{-1/k^*} \sum_{r=m}^n P_{r-1} \epsilon_r G_{rm} \right) y_m \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^n b_{nm} y_m \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_{nm} = \begin{cases} \frac{u_m^{-1/k^*} p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{r=m}^n P_{r-1} \epsilon_r G_{rm}, & m \leq n \\ 0, & m > n. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Then, $\tilde{y} \in l$ whenever $y \in l_k$ if and only if

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |b_{nm}| \right)^{k^*} < \infty$$

by Lemma 2.1, which is same as the condition (2.2). This completes the proof. \square

It may be remarked that in the special case $q_n = A_n^{\alpha-1}$ and $u_n = n$, Theorem 2.3 reduces to Theorem 1.1. In fact, in this case it is obvious that $|N, q_n, u_n|_k = |C, \alpha|_k$. Also, we recall the following well known equality of Bosanquet and Das [3], for $\alpha \neq -1, -2, \dots, v \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{r=v}^n A_r^\alpha A_{n-r}^{-\alpha-2} = \frac{v A_v^\alpha A_{n-v}^{-\alpha-1}}{n}, \quad (2.6)$$

Now, it is easy to see that $C_0 = A_0^{-\alpha-2} = 1$, $C_n = A_n^{-\alpha-2}$ and $A_n^\alpha = 0$ for $n \geq 1$, and so, since

$$G_{rm} = \sum_{v=m}^r A_v^\alpha A_{r-v}^{-\alpha-2} = \frac{mA_m^\alpha A_{r-m}^{-\alpha-1}}{r}, 1 \leq r \leq v, \text{ and } 0 \text{ for } r > v,$$

by using (2.6), we get the matrix $B = (b_{nm})$ as

$$b_{nm} = \begin{cases} \frac{m^{1/k} A_m^\alpha p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{r=m}^n A_{r-m}^{-\alpha-1} \frac{\epsilon_r}{r} P_{r-1}, & m \leq n \\ 0, & m > n. \end{cases}$$

So by $A_n^\alpha \sim n^\alpha / \Gamma(\alpha + 1)$ for $\alpha > -1$ [4], it follows from applying Lemma 2.1 to the matrix B that (2.2) is the same as (1.4), as asserted.

Note that $1 \in (X, Y)$ leads us to a comparison of summability fields of methods X and Y , where $1 = (1, 1, \dots)$. So taking $\epsilon_n = u_n = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$ in Theorem 2.3 we get the following result.

Corollary 2.4. *If $k > 1$, then, $1 \in (|N, q_n|_k, |\tilde{N}, p_n|)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left| \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{r=m}^n P_{r-1} G_{rm} \right| \right)^{k^*} < \infty. \tag{2.7}$$

This result also extends the following result of Kayashima [6] to $k > 1$.

Corollary 2.5. *If (p_n) and (q_n) are positive and nonincreasing sequences and (q_{n+1}/q_n) is nondecreasing, then $1 \in (|N, q_n|, |\tilde{N}, p_n|)$.*

Theorem 2.6. *Let $k \geq 1$ and (u_n) be a sequence of nonnegative terms. Then, $\epsilon \in (|\tilde{N}, p_n|, |N, q_n, u_n|_k)$ if and only if*

$$\sup_v \left\{ u_v^{1/k^*} \left| \frac{\epsilon_v P_v}{Q_v p_v} \right| \right\} < \infty \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\sup_v \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \left| u_n^{1/k^*} \left(\Omega_{nv}^q \epsilon_v \frac{P_v}{p_v} - \Omega_{n,v+1}^q \epsilon_{v+1} \frac{P_{v-1}}{p_v} \right) \right|^k < \infty, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\Omega_{nv}^q = \frac{Q_{n-v}}{Q_n} - \frac{Q_{n-v-1}}{Q_{n-1}}, Q_{-1} = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. As in proof of Theorem 2.3, we define sequences $y = (y_n)$ and $\tilde{y} = (\tilde{y}_n)$ by $y_0 = \epsilon_0 a_0$,

$$y_n = u_n^{1/k^*} (t_n - t_{n-1}) = u_n^{1/k^*} \sum_{v=0}^n \left(\frac{Q_{n-v}}{Q_n} - \frac{Q_{n-v-1}}{Q_{n-1}} \right) \epsilon_v a_v, n \geq 1 \tag{2.11}$$

$$\tilde{y}_0 = a_0, \tilde{y}_n = T_n - T_{n-1} = \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{r=1}^n P_{r-1} a_r, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (2.12)$$

Then, $\epsilon \in (|\bar{N}, p_n|, |N, q_n, u_n|_k)$ iff $y \in l_k$ whenever $\tilde{y} \in l$. On the other hand, from (2.12) we write

$$a_n = \frac{P_n}{p_n} \tilde{y}_n - \frac{P_{n-2}}{p_{n-1}} \tilde{y}_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1 \text{ and } a_0 = \tilde{y}_0$$

Hence, by (2.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= u_n^{1/k^*} \sum_{v=1}^n \Omega_{nv}^q \epsilon_v a_v = u_n^{1/k^*} \sum_{v=1}^n \Omega_{nv}^q \epsilon_v \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \tilde{y}_v - \frac{P_{v-2}}{p_{v-1}} \tilde{y}_{v-1} \right) \\ &= u_n^{1/k^*} \left\{ \Omega_{nn}^q \epsilon_n \frac{P_n}{p_n} \tilde{y}_n + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\Omega_{nv}^q \epsilon_v \frac{P_v}{p_v} - \Omega_{n,v+1}^q \epsilon_{v+1} \frac{P_{v-1}}{p_v} \right) \tilde{y}_v \right\} \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^n c_{nv} \tilde{y}_v \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_{nv} = \begin{cases} u_n^{1/k^*} \left(\Omega_{nv}^q \epsilon_v \frac{P_v}{p_v} - \Omega_{n,v+1}^q \epsilon_{v+1} \frac{P_{v-1}}{p_v} \right), & 1 \leq v \leq n-1 \\ u_n^{1/k^*} \Omega_{nn}^q \epsilon_n \frac{P_n}{p_n}, & v = n \\ 0, & v > n. \end{cases}$$

So $y \in l_k$ whenever $\tilde{y} \in l$ if and only if

$$\sup_v \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} |c_{nv}|^k < \infty$$

by Lemma 2.2 or, equivalently,

$$\sup_v \left\{ u_v^{1/k^*} \left| \frac{\epsilon_v P_v}{Q_v p_v} \right| \right\} < \infty, \quad v \geq 1$$

and

$$\sup_v \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \left| u_n^{1/k^*} \left(\Omega_{nv}^q \epsilon_v \frac{P_v}{p_v} - \Omega_{n,v+1}^q \epsilon_{v+1} \frac{P_{v-1}}{p_v} \right) \right|^k < \infty.$$

Thus the proof is completed. \square

Corollary 2.7. *If $k \geq 1$, then, $1 \in (|\bar{N}, p_n|, |N, q_n|_k)$ if and only if*

$$\sup_v \left\{ v^{1/k^*} \left| \frac{P_v}{Q_v p_v} \right| \right\} < \infty \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\sup_v \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \left| n^{1/k^*} \left(\Omega_{nv}^q \frac{P_v}{p_v} - \Omega_{n,v+1}^q \frac{P_{v-1}}{p_v} \right) \right|^k < \infty. \quad (2.14)$$

Proof. Put $\epsilon_n = 1$ and $u_n = n$ for all $n \geq 1$ in Theorem 2.6.

This result, for $k = 1$, reduces to the following theorem of Kayashima [6].

Corollary 2.8. *If (p_n) and (q_n) are positive and nondecreasing sequences and (q_{n+1}/q_n) is nonincreasing, then $1 \in (|\bar{N}, p_n|, |N, q_n|)$.*

Proof. By considering that (p_n) and (q_n) are positive and nondecreasing sequences, we have

$$\frac{P_n}{Q_n p_n} \leq \frac{n+1}{Q_n} \leq q_0^{-1} \text{ for all } n \geq 0.$$

Also, by hypotheses on the sequence (q_n) , it converges to a number, $\lim_n q_{n+1}/q_n = \sigma$ say. So, there exists a nonincreasing null sequence (x_n) such that $q_{n+1} = (\sigma + x_n)q_n$ for all $n \geq 0$, where $\sigma \geq 1$. Then, it can be written that

$$Q_n = q_0 + \sigma Q_{n-1} + \sum_{v=1}^n q_{v-1} x_{v-1}$$

which gives

$$\frac{Q_n}{Q_{n-1}} = \frac{q_0}{Q_{n-1}} + \sigma + Z_n \rightarrow \sigma \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$Z_n = \frac{1}{Q_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n q_{v-1} x_{v-1}$$

Since (x_n) is nonincreasing, it is easily seen that (Z_n) is nonincreasing, which implies that (Q_n/Q_{n-1}) is nonincreasing. So it follows that, for $0 \leq v \leq n$,

$$\Omega_{nv}^q = \frac{Q_{n-v-1}}{Q_n} \left(\frac{Q_{n-v}}{Q_{n-v-1}} - \frac{Q_n}{Q_{n-1}} \right) \geq 0$$

Further,

$$C_{nv} = \Omega_{nv}^q \frac{P_v}{p_v} - \Omega_{n,v+1}^q \frac{P_{v-1}}{p_v} \geq 0.$$

In fact, if $q_{n-v}/Q_n - q_{n-v-1}/Q_{n-1} \geq 0$, then it is clear that $C_{nv} \geq 0$, since

$$C_{nv} = \frac{P_v}{p_v} \left(\frac{q_{n-v}}{Q_n} - \frac{q_{n-v-1}}{Q_{n-1}} \right) + \Omega_{n,v+1}^q. \quad (2.16)$$

If $q_{n-v}/Q_n - q_{n-v-1}/Q_{n-1} < 0$, then, it can be deduced from the condition on (q_n) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q_{n-v}}{Q_n} - \frac{q_{n-v-1}}{Q_{n-1}} &\geq \frac{q_{n-v-1}}{Q_n} \left(\frac{q_{n-m}}{q_{n-m-1}} - \frac{Q_n}{Q_{n-1}} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{q_{n-m}}{Q_n} - \frac{q_{n-m-1}}{Q_{n-1}}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq v, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$v \left(\frac{q_{n-v}}{Q_n} - \frac{q_{n-v-1}}{Q_{n-1}} \right) \geq \sum_{m=0}^{v-1} \left(\frac{q_{n-m}}{Q_n} - \frac{q_{n-m-1}}{Q_{n-1}} \right) = -\Omega_{nv}^q.$$

Also, since (p_v) is a positive nondecreasing sequence, we can write $P_v \leq v p_v$ for all $v \geq 1$, which gives us, by (2.17),

$$\frac{P_v}{p_v} \left(\frac{q_{n-v}}{Q_n} - \frac{q_{n-v-1}}{Q_{n-1}} \right) \geq -\frac{P_v}{v p_v} \Omega_{nv}^q \geq -\Omega_{nv}^q.$$

This means that $C_{nv} \geq 0$ for $0 \leq v \leq n$. Hence, by considering (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_v \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P_v}{p_v} \Omega_{nv}^q - \frac{P_{v-1}}{p_v} \Omega_{n,v+1}^q \right| &= \suplim_v \sum_m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \Omega_{nv}^q - \frac{P_{v-1}}{p_v} \Omega_{n,v+1}^q \right) \\ &\leq \suplim_v \left[\frac{P_v}{p_v} \left(\frac{Q_{m-v}}{Q_m} - \frac{q_0}{Q_v} \right) - \frac{P_{v-1} Q_{m-v-1}}{p_v Q_m} \right] \\ &= \sup_v \left[\frac{P_v}{p_v} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^v} - \frac{q_0}{Q_v} \right) - \frac{P_{v-1}}{p_v \sigma^{v-1}} \right] < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Further the following result of [12] is obtained from Corollary 2.7 by choosing $q_n = 1$ for $n \geq 1$.

Corollary 2.9. *If $k \geq 1$, then, $1 \in (|\bar{N}, p_n|, |C, 1|_k)$ if and only if*

$$\sup_v \frac{P_v}{v^{1/k} p_v} < \infty.$$

References

- [1] H. Bor, *On two summability methods*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **97** (1985), No.1, 147–149.
- [2] D. Borwein and F. P. Cass, *Strong Nörlund summability*, Math. Zeitschr., **103** (1968), 94–111.
- [3] L. S. Bosanquet and G. Das, *Absolute summability factors for Nörlund means*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **38** (1979), 1–52.
- [4] T. M. Flett, *On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **7** (1957), 113–141.
- [5] G. C. Hazar and M.A Sarigöl, *On absolute Nörlund spaces and matrix operators*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), (2018), **34** (5), 812–826.
- [6] I. Kayashima, *On relations between Nörlund and Riesz means*, Pac. J. Math., **49** (2) (1973), 391–396.
- [7] I. J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, London, New York, 1970.
- [8] S. M. Mazhar, *On the absolute summability factors of infinite series*, Tohoku Math. J., **23** (1971), 433–451.
- [9] M. F. Mears, *Absolute Regularity and the Nörlund Mean*, Annals of Math., **38** (3), (1937), 594–601.
- [10] M. R. Mehdi, *Summability factors for generalized absolute summability I*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **10** (1960), 180–199.
- [11] R. N. Mohapatra, *On absolute Riesz summability factors*, J. Indian Math. Soc., **32** (1968), 113–129.

- [12] C. Orhan and M. A. Sarigöl, *On absolute weighted mean summability*, Rocky Mount. J. Math., **23** (1993), 1091–1097.
- [13] M. A. Sarigöl, *Extension of Mazhar's theorem on summability factors*, Kuwait J. Sci., **42** (3) (2015), 28–35.
- [14] M. A. Sarigöl, *Matrix operators on A_k* , Math. Comp. Model., **55** (2012), 1763–1769.
- [15] M. A. Sarigöl, *Matrix transformations on fields of absolute weighted mean summability*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., **48** (3) (2011), 331–341.
- [16] M. A. Sarigöl, *On the local properties of factored Fourier series*, Appl. Math. Comp., **216** (2010), 3386–3390.
- [17] M. A. Sarigöl and H. Bor, *Characterization of absolute summability factors*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **195** (1995), 537–545.
- [18] M. A. Sarigöl, *On two absolute Riesz summability factors of infinite series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **118** (1993), 485–488.
- [19] M. A. Sarigöl, *A note on summability*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., **28** (1993), 395–400.
- [20] M. A. Sarigöl, *On absolute weighted mean summability methods*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **115** (1) (1992), 157–160.
- [21] M. A. Sarigöl, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for the equivalence of the summability methods $\left| \overline{N}, p_n \right|_k$ and $|C, 1|_k$* , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **22** (6) (1991), 483–489.
- [22] W. T. Sulaiman, *On summability factors of infinite series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **115** (1992), 313–317.

Department of Mathematics, Pamukkale University, TR-20007 Denizli, Turkey.

E-mail: gchazar@pau.edu.tr

Department of Mathematics, Pamukkale University, TR-20007 Denizli, Turkey.

E-mail: msarigol@pau.edu.tr