

BJS, 2022, 109, 1044-1048 https://doi.org/10.1093/bjs/znac239

Advance Access Publication Date: 19 July 2022 Short Report

Paediatric appendicitis: international study of management in the COVID-19 pandemic

Paul van Amstel^{1,*} (b, Ali El Ghazzaoui², Nigel J. Hall³ (b, Tomas Wester^{4,5}, Francesco Morini⁶, Johanna H. van der Lee^{7,8}, Georg Singer⁹, Agostino Pierro^{2,10}, Augusto Zani^{2,10}, Ramon R. Gorter¹ and the CONNECT collaborative study group

¹Department of Paediatric Surgery, Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam and Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

- ²Division of General and Thoracic Surgery, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- ³University Surgery Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
- ⁴Department of Paediatric Surgery, Astrid Lindgren Children's Hospital, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden
- ⁵Department of Women's and Children's Health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
- ⁶Neonatal Surgery Unit, Medical and Surgical Department of the Fetus, Newborn, and Infant, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy
- ⁷Pediatric Clinical Research Office, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam & Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
- ⁸Knowledge Institute of the Dutch Association of Medical Specialists, Utrecht, the Netherlands
- ⁹Department of Paediatric and Adolescent Surgery, Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria
- ¹⁰Department of Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

*Correspondence to: Paul van Amstel, Department of Paediatric Surgery, Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam and Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands (e-mail: p.vanamstel@amsterdamumc.nl)

Members of the CONNECT collaborative study group are co-authors of this study and are listed under the heading Collaborators.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic had a huge impact on healthcare systems worldwide, forcing policymakers to reorganize hospital resources to prioritize COVID care. Recommendations were made by surgical societies to postpone elective surgery and apply non-operative alternatives if available for surgical diseases^{1–3}. The aim of this multicentre international study was to investigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on paediatric appendicitis, specifically the proportion of children with complex appendicitis, alterations in the diagnostic work-up and treatment strategies, and its outcomes.

Methods

An international retrospective study was conducted at 40 hospitals from 23 countries (Appendix S1). The study was overseen by an international study steering group (RG/AZ/AP/ NH/TW/FM/AG/PA) that developed the study protocol. This study was endorsed by the European Paediatric Surgeons' Association (EUPSA), which assisted in the recruitment of participating hospitals through the EUPSA Network Office. Principal investigators of participating sites obtained local ethical approval in accordance with local requirements. The study was reported according to the STROBE guidelines⁴.

Patients (aged less than 18 years) treated for acute appendicitis between January 2019 and December 2020 were screened for eligibility. Those who had non-operative treatment without an imaging-confirmed diagnosis of acute appendicitis were excluded. Diagnosis of acute appendicitis was defined by intraoperative and histopathological confirmation of appendicitis, and, in the event of non-operative treatment (Appendix S2), based on clinical, biochemical, and radiological criteria. Local investigators were asked to define the start of the COVID-19 pandemic at their institution based on the start of the interval during which regular healthcare was affected by the pandemic. The COVID group included patients treated between the start of the COVID period and 31 December 2020. The control group consisted of patients treated during the corresponding interval in 2019. To understand healthcare protocols and management strategies for acute appendicitis before and during the pandemic at each centre, all participating sites were asked to complete a survey that was sent on 23 March 2021 (Appendix S3).

Variables of interest and their definitions were agreed by the study steering group based on the globally supported core outcome set for studies reporting the treatment of acute simple appendicitis in children⁵⁻⁸. Primary outcomes were the proportions of children treated for complex appendicitis, children who underwent imaging procedures for confirmation of appendicitis, children treated using non-surgical treatment strategies, and complications directly related to treatment. Secondary outcomes and definitions are shown in Appendix S2.

Comparative analyses were undertaken by calculating differences in proportions and 95 per cent confidence intervals. Subgroup analyses based on time interval of presentation, age, and region were performed for appendicitis severity and complications. For all subgroup analyses, Bonferroni correction was applied to adjust for multiple testing. Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS® version 26 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

Received: April 08, 2022. Revised: May 21, 2022. Accepted: June 14, 2022

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of BJS Society Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Results

Between January 2019 and December 2020, some 10 655 children were treated for acute appendicitis, of whom 2062 were excluded for the reasons outlined in *Appendix* S4. Therefore, 8593 patients were included, 4113 in the COVID group and 4480 in the control group. Baseline characteristics were similar in the two groups (*Appendix* S5).

The survey showed that, in the majority of participating centres, non-operative treatment and same-day discharge were not standard care during the pandemic, and that there was no

Table 1 Diagnostic work-up, treatment, and outcomes

change in referral pathways or shift of patients with complex disease to the participating centres (*Appendix S1*).

Appendicitis severity

In the COVID group, 47.7 per cent of patients were treated for complex appendicitis versus 45.0 per cent in the control group (difference 2.7 (95 per cent c.i. 0.6 to 4.8) per cent; P = 0.014) (Table 1). This increased proportion of complex appendicitis was apparent only during the first 3 months of the pandemic (difference 5.6 (1.8 to 9.3) per cent; P = 0.003,

| | COVID-19 group (n = 4113) | Control group (n = 4480) | Difference in proportions (%)* | P‡ |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Sourceity of announdivisio | · · · · | , , , | , | |
| Severity of appendicitis Simple | 1072 (49 0) | 2229 (49.8) | | |
| Complex | 1973 (48.0) | () | 27(0648) | 0.014 |
| 1 | 1962 (47.7) | 2017 (45.0) | 2.7 (0.6, 4.8) | 0.014 |
| Non-inflamed | 168 (4.1) | 220 (4.9) | | |
| Missing | 10 (0.2) | 14 (0.3) | | |
| Patients screened for COVID-19 | | | | |
| Yes | 3095 (75.2) | - | | |
| No | 997 (24.2) | - | | |
| Missing | 21 (0.5) | - | | |
| Test results | | | | |
| Positive | 71 (1.7) | - | | |
| Negative | 2998 (72.9) | - | | |
| Inconclusive/unknown | 26 (0.6) | - | | |
| No. of patients who underwent diagnostic imaging | 3535 (86.0) | 3780 (84.4) | 1.6 (0.1, 3.1) | 0.036 |
| Ultrasonography | 3205 (77.9) | 3450 (77.0) | | |
| MRI | 9 (0.2) | 5 (0.1) | | |
| CT | 88 (2.1) | 66 (1.5) | | |
| Ultrasonography + MRI | 59 (1.4) | 63 (1.4) | | |
| Ultrasonography + CT | 161 (3.9) | 164 (3.7) | | |
| MRI + CT | 1 (< 0.1) | 1 (< 0.1) | | |
| Ultrasonography + MRI + CT | 1 (< 0.1) | 3 (0.1) | | |
| Missing | 11 (0.3) | 28 (0.6) | | |
| Treatment strategy | | | | |
| Non-operative | 316 (7.7) | 327 (7.3) | 0.4 (-0.7, 1.5) | 0.499 |
| Surgical | 3797 (92.3) | 4153 (92.7) | 0.4 (-0.7, 1.5) | 0.499 |
| Surgical approach | | | | |
| Laparoscopic | 2748 (66.8) | 2889 (64.5) | 2.3 (0.3, 4.3) | 0.023 |
| Open | 967 (23.5) | 1191 (26.6) | 3.1 (1.3, 4.9) | 0.001 |
| Laparoscopic converted to open | 75 (1.8) | 69 (1.5) | | |
| Other | 7 (0.2) | 4 (0.1) | | |
| Negative appendicectomy | 168 (4.1) | 220 (4.9) | 0.8 (-0.8, 1.7) | 0.064 |
| Missing | 10 (0.2) | 14 (0.3) | | |
| Initial duration of hospital stay (days)† | 3 (2–6) | 3 (2–6) | | 0.751 |
| Missing | 2 (< 0.1) | 41 (0.9) | | |
| Total duration of hospital stay (days)† | 4 (2–6) | 4 (2–6) | | 0.373 |
| Missing | 2 (< 0.1) | 42 (0.9) | | |
| Readmission | 226 (5.5) | 232 (5.2) | 0.3 (-0.7, 1.3) | 0.532 |
| Missing | 5 (Ò.1) | 4 (0.1) | | |
| No. of patients with a complication | 478 (11.6) | 496 (11.1) | 0.5 (-0.8, 1.8) | 0.434 |
| Missing | 4 (0.1) | 8 (0.2) | | |
| Complication severity | ~ / | | | |
| Patients with a minor complication (CD I–II) | 325 (7.9) | 323 (7.2) | 0.7 (-0.4, 18) | 0.225 |
| Patients with a severe complication (CD III–IV) | 149 (3.6)́ | 168 (3.7) | 0.1 (-0.7, 8.9) | 0.754 |
| Death (CD V) | 1 (<0.1) | 1 (<0.1) | 0 (-0.1, 0.1) | >0.999 |
| Missing | 8 (0.2) | 12 (0.3) | | |
| Type of complication | | | | |
| Intra-abdominal abscess | 254 (6.2) | 242 (5.4) | | |
| Surgical-site infection | 110 (2.7) | 102 (2.3) | | |
| Small bowel obstruction | 52 (1.3) | 43 (1.0) | | |
| Need for reoperation | 72 (1.8) | 102 (2.3) | 0.5 (-0.1, 1.1) | 0.084 |
| No. of patients with at least one outpatient visit | 1898 (46.1) | 2791 (62.3) | 16.2 (14.1, 18.3) | < 0.001 |
| Missing | 3 | 6 | 10.2 (11.1, 10.0) | 20.001 |
| No. of patients with telephone check-up | 777 (18.9) | 416 (9.3) | 9.6 (8.1, 11.1) | <0.001 |
| Missing | 5 (0.1) | 11 (0.2) | 5.0 (0.1, 11.1) | 20.001 |

Values are n (%) unless otherwise indicated; *values in parentheses are 95 per cent confidence intervals and †values are median (i.q.r.). CD, Clavien–Dindo grade. ‡Chi-Square test, except §Mann-Whitney U test.

| Table 2 Subgroup | analyses | of severity | / of appei | ndicitis ar | d complications |
|------------------|----------|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | |

| | COVID-19 group (n = 4113) | Control group (n = 4480) | Difference in proportions (%)* | Adjusted P† |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Patients treated for | | | | |
| complex appendicitis | | | | |
| Time interval | | | | |
| First 3 months | 672 of 1287 (52.2) | 669 of 1436 (46.6) | 5.6 (1.8, 9.3) | 0.007 |
| Rest of year | 1290 of 2816 (45.8) | 1348 of 3030 (44.5) | 1.3 (-1.3, 3.9) | 0.64 |
| Missing | 10 | 14 | | |
| Age (years) | | | | |
| < 6 | 357 of 501 (71.3) | 399 of 590 (67.6) | 3.7 (-1.8, 9.1) | 0.56 |
| 6–12 | 1018 of 2227 (45.7) | 1025 of 2354 (43.5) | 2.2 (-0.7, 5.1) | 0.4 |
| > 12 | 587 of 1375 (42.7) | 593 of 1522 (39.0) | 3.7 (0.1, 7.3) | 0.13 |
| Missing | 10 | 14 | 5.7 (0.1, 7.5) | 0.15 |
| Region | | | | |
| Europe | 1632 of 3406 (47.9) | 1593 of 3585 (44.4) | 3.5 (1.2, 5.8) | 0.02 |
| North America | 136 of 334 (40.7) | 154 of 355 (43.4) | 2.7 (-4.7, 10.0) | >0.99 |
| South America | 22 of 47 (46.8) | 59 of 102 (57.8) | 11.0 (-6.0, 27.3) | 0.63 |
| Africa | 86 of 117 (73.5) | 108 of 157 (68.8) | 4.7 (-6.3, 15.2) | >0.99 |
| Asia | 86 of 199 (43.2) | 103 of 267 (38.6) | 4.6 (-4.4, 13.5) | 0.95 |
| Missing | 10 | 14 | 1.0 (1.1, 19.9) | 0.55 |
| Subgroup analysis of | 10 | ± 1 | | |
| patients experiencing | | | | |
| a complication | | | | |
| Time interval | | | | |
| First 3 months | 176 of 1291 (13.6) | 173 of 1435 (12.1) | 1.5 (-1.0, 4.0) | 0.48 |
| Rest of year | 302 of 2818 (10.7) | 323 of 3037 (10.6) | 0.1 (-1.5, 1.7) | >0.10 |
| Missing | 4 | 8 | 0.1 (-1.5, 1.7) | 20.55 |
| Age (years) | T | 0 | | |
| < 6 | 75 of 503 (14.9) | 100 of 589 (17.0) | 2.1 (-2.3, 6.4) | >0.99 |
| 6–12 | 246 of 2230 (11.0) | 252 of 2361 (10.7) | 0.3 (-1.5, 2.1) | >0.99 |
| > 12 | 157 of 1376 (11.4) | 144 of 1522 (9.5) | 1.9 (-0.3, 4.2) | 0.28 |
| Missing | 4 | 8 | 1.5 (-0.5, 4.2) | 0.20 |
| Region | T | 0 | | |
| Europe | 404 of 3408 (11.9) | 386 of 3592 (10.7) | 1.2 (-0.2, 2.7) | 0.57 |
| North America | 28 of 334 (8.4) | 34 of 354 (9.6) | 1.2(-3.2, 2.7) 1.2(-3.2, 5.5) | >0.99 |
| South America | 5 of 47 (10.6) | 24 of 102 (23.5) | 12.9 (-1.0, 23.8) | 0.33 |
| Africa | 24 of 121 (19.8) | 32 of 157 (20.4) | 0.6(-9.1, 9.9) | >0.99 |
| Asia | 17 of 199 (8.5) | 20 of 267 (7.5) | 1.0(-3.9, 6.4) | >0.99 |
| Missing | 17 01 199 (8.5) 4 | 20 01 267 (7.5) | 1.0 (-3.2, 0.4) | 20.99 |
| 101122111R | 4 | 0 | | |

Values are n (%) unless otherwise indicated; *values in parentheses are 95 per cent confidence intervals. †Chi-Square test, with Bonferroni correction.

adjusted P=0.007) and was predominantly caused by an absolute decrease in patients with simple appendicitis. The subgroup analysis based on region showed that the proportion of patients treated for complex appendicitis increased by 3.5 (1.2 to 5.8) per cent in Europe (P=0.004, adjusted P=0.020). No differences were found for other continents (Table 2).

Diagnostic work-up and initial treatment

In the COVID group, 86.0 per cent of children underwent imaging during diagnostic work-up compared with 84.4 per cent in the control group (difference 1.6 (95 per cent c.i. 0.1 to 3.1) per cent; P=0.037). During the pandemic, 7.7 per cent of patients had non-operative treatment compared with 7.3 per cent in the control group (difference 0.4 (-0.7 to 1.5) per cent; P=0.495). Outcomes of non-operative treatment are recorded in *Appendix* S6. Among those treated surgically, 74.3 per cent in the COVID group and 71.2 per cent in the control group underwent laparoscopic appendicectomy (difference 3.1 (1.2 to 5.1) per cent; P=0.002) (*Table* 1).

Complications

Both the primary and subgroup analyses showed no differences in the number of patients experiencing any complication between the COVID and control groups, nor in the severity of complications. In both groups, intra-abdominal abscess was the most frequent postoperative complication (*Tables* 1 and 2).

Discussion

This large international study found that the number of patients presenting with simple appendicitis decreased during the first months of the pandemic, resulting in a higher proportion of complex appendicitis than in the control interval. The proportion of patients who had non-operative treatment and the proportion of complications were comparable to those in the control period. These data suggest that the management and outcomes of children with acute appendicitis were relatively unaffected by the pandemic, reflecting the resilience of the participating centres.

Several small single-centre studies^{9–14} have reported contradictory results on the influence of the pandemic on the proportion of patients treated for complex appendicitis; some reported increased proportions of complex appendicitis (7–18 per cent), whereas others could not detect any difference. In the present study, the increased proportion of complex appendicitis seems to be the result of an absolute decrease in patients with simple appendicitis. A possible explanation could be the resolution of mild cases of simple appendicitis in patients who did not seek medical care and recovered spontaneously or were treated with antibiotics by general practitioners^{15,16}. After the first months of the pandemic, proportions of simple and complex appendicitis were comparable to those in the control group, which was predominantly the result of an absolute increase in patients with simple appendicitis. This could be explained by the fact that the threshold for seeking medical care for mild appendicitis possibly decreased after the first few months of the pandemic, as lockdown measures were slowly lifted and COVID-19-related fear declined. These findings are in line with those of other population-based studies^{17–19} that noted an absolute decrease in both adult and paediatric patients presenting with simple appendicitis early in the pandemic.

This international multicentre study is limited by possible information and selection bias, which is inherent to retrospective selection of patients and data collection. Furthermore, the regional subgroup analysis was limited by a skewed distribution, as the majority of patients were included in Europe. Finally, the survey found no shift of patients with complex disease to participating centres, but this might still have occurred. The major strength of this study is the international collaboration and subsequent large sample size of more than 8500 patients.

Collaborators

CONNECT collaborative study group: Martin L. Metzelder, Sophie Langer (Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria)

Ashrarur R Mitul, Sabbir Karim, Nazmul Islam (Bangladesh Shishu Hospital & Institute, Dhaka, Bangladesh)

Anna Poupalou, (Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), HUDERF Hospital (Hôpital Universitaire des Enfants Reine Fabiola), Brussels, Belgium)

Marc Miserez, Edward Willems (University Hospital Gasthuisberg KULeuven, Leuven, Belgium)

Erika V. P. Ortolan, Pedro Luiz Toledo de Arruda

Lourenção, (Botucatu Medical School, Unesp, Botucatu, São Paulo, Brazil)

Mark Bremholm Ellebæk, Susanna Petersen (Odense University Hospital, University of Southern Denmark, Odense C, Denmark)

Janne Suominen, Mikko Pakarinen (New Children's Hospital, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland)

Françoise Schmitt (University Hospital of Angers, Angers, France)

Arnaud Bonnard, Louise Montalva, Garance Martin (Robert Debré Children University Hospital, APHP, Paris University, Paris, France)

Antonella Nahom di Veroli, Zaki Assi (Soroka Medical Center, Beer Sheva, Israel)

Alessio Pini-Prato, Ilaria Falconi (Umberto Bosio Center for Digestive Diseases, The Children Hospital, AO SS Antonio e Biagio e cesare Arrigo, Alessandria, Italy)

Daniele Alberti, Giovanni Boroni, Beatrice Montanaro (University of Brescia, Department of Pediatric Surgery-Children's Hospital, Brescia-Italy)

Antonino Morabito, Andrea Zulli, Riccardo Coletta (University of Florence-Meyer Children's Hospital, Florence, Italy)

Carmelo Romeo, Enrica Antonelli, Francesca Nascimben (Unit of Pediatric Surgery, University of Messina, Messina, Italy)

Piergiorgio Gamba, Alberto Sgro (University of Padua, Padova, Italy)

Alessandro Raffaele, Maria Ruffoli (Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy)

Ivan Aloi, Simone Frediani (Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy)

Marco Gasparella, Paola Midrio (Ca Foncello Hospital-Treviso, University of Padova, PadovaItaly)

Mohit Kakar (Riga Stradins University & Children's Clinical University Hospital. Riga, Latvia)

Shireen A Nah, Yohesuwary Gunarasa (Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia)

Toni Risteski, Vesna Naunova Cvetanoska, Lazo Jovcheski (Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje, R. Macedonia)

Kjetil Juul Stensrud, Henrik Røkkum, Pål Aksel Næss (Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway)

Aline Vaz-Silva, Joana Patena Forte, Pedro F. Morais, Joana Queirós Pereira (Hospital Dona Estefânia, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Lisboa Central, Lisbon, Portugal)

Sanja Sindjic Antunovic, Marija Lukac (University Children's Hospital, Belgrade, Serbia)

Marion Arnold, Martina Ichino, Andrew Victor Bernstein, Hettie le Roux (Red Cross War Memorial Children's Hospital/University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa)

Leopoldo Martinez, Carlos Delgado-Miguel, (La Paz Children's Hospital, Madrid, Spain)

Paolo Bragagnini Rodriguez, Paula Salcedo Arroyo, Yurema Gonzalez Ruiz (University Hospital "Miguel Servet", Zaragoza, Spain)

Anna Svenningsson (Astrid Lindgren Children's Hospital, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden)

Joep PM Derikx, Roel Bakx (Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam & Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands)

Rene MH Wijnen, Claudia MG Keyzer (Erasmus University Medical Centre -Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands)

Gerda W Zijp, EA Huurman (Juliana Children's Hospital/ Haga-Hospital, The Hague, The Netherlands)

Wim van Gemert, Olivier Theeuws (Maastricht UMC, Maastricht, Netherlands)

Ivo de Blaauw, Sanne MBI Botden, Maja Joosten (Radboud University Medical Center, Amalia Children's Hospital, Nijmegen, The Netherlands)

Evert-Jan Boerma, Donald Schweitzer (Zuyderland Medical Centre, Heerlen&Sittard-Geleen, The Netherlands)

Osman Uzunlu (Pamukkale University School of Medicine, Denizli, Turkey)

Ingo Jester, Ben Martin, Hetal N Patel (Birmingham Children's Hospital, Birmingham, West Midlands, United Kingdom)

Dina Fouad, Christine Lam (Southampton Children's Hospital, Southampton, United Kingdom)

Clint D. Cappiello, Carla Lopez, Veronica Natale, Emily Lee (The Johns Hopkins Hospital Bloomberg Children's Center, Baltimore, MD, United States of America)

Funding

T.W. received a grant from the Swedish Medical Research Council. The Swedish Medical Research Council had no role in any part of the study.

Acknowledgements

This study was endorsed by the EUPSA, and participating hospitals were mainly recruited via the EUPSA Network Office. The authors of the CONNECT study steering group had full access to all data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication. Requests for data sharing will be considered by the study steering group upon written request to the corresponding author. Deidentified participant data will be made available after receipt of a written proposal and a signed data-sharing agreement.

Disclosure. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at BJS online.

References

- Royal College of Surgeons of England. Guidance for Surgeons Working During the COVID-19 Pandemic from the Surgical Royal Colleges of the United Kingdom and Ireland. https://www.rcseng.ac. uk/coronavirus/joint-guidance-for-surgeons-v1/ (accessed 13 September 2021)
- Pelizzo G, Costanzo S, Maestri L, Selvaggio G, Pansini A, Zuccotti G et al. The challenges of a children's hospital during the COVID-19 pandemic: the pediatric surgeon's point of view. Pediatr Rep 2020;12:114–123
- 3. Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons. SAGES and EAES Recommendations Regarding Surgical Response to COVID-19 Crisis. https://www.sages.org/recommendationssurgical-response-covid-19/
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet* 2007;**370**: 1453–1457
- Bhangu A, Søreide K, Di Saverio S, Assarsson JH, Drake FT. Acute appendicitis: modern understanding of pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management. Lancet 2015;386:1278–1287
- Knaapen M, Hall NJ, Moulin D, van der Lee JH, Butcher NJ, Minneci PC et al. International core outcome set for acute simple appendicitis in children: results of a systematic review, Delphi study, and focus groups with young people. Ann Surg 2020 doi:10.1097/sla.000000000004707
- O'Hara LM, Thom KA, Preas MA. Update to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee guideline for the prevention of surgical site infection (2017): a summary, review, and strategies for implementation. Am J Infect Control 2018;46:602–609

- St Peter SD, Sharp SW, Holcomb GW III, Ostlie DJ. An evidence-based definition for perforated appendicitis derived from a prospective randomized trial. J Pediatr Surg 2008;43: 2242–2245
- Delgado-Miguel C, Muñoz-Serrano AJ, Miguel-Ferrero M, De Ceano-Vivas M, Calvo C, Martínez L. Complicated acute appendicitis during COVID-19 pandemic: the hidden epidemic in children. Eur J Pediatr Surg 2022;32:268–273. doi:10.1055/s-0041-1723992
- Fisher JC, Tomita SS, Ginsburg HB, Gordon A, Walker D, Kuenzler KA. Increase in pediatric perforated appendicitis in the New York city metropolitan region at the epicenter of the COVID-19 outbreak. Ann Surg 2021;273:410–415
- Gerall CD, DeFazio JR, Kahan AM, Fan W, Fallon EM, Middlesworth W et al. Delayed presentation and sub-optimal outcomes of pediatric patients with acute appendicitis during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Pediatr Surg 2021;56:905–910
- 12. La Pergola E, Sgrò A, Rebosio F, Vavassori D, Fava G, Codrich D et al. Appendicitis in children in a large Italian COVID-19 pandemic area. Front Pediatr 2020;**8**:600320
- Schäfer FM, Meyer J, Kellnar S, Warmbrunn J, Schuster T, Simon S et al. Increased incidence of perforated appendicitis in children during COVID-19 pandemic in a Bavarian multi-center study. Front Pediatr 2021;9:683607
- Theodorou CM, Beres AL, Nguyen M, Castle SL, Faltermeier C, Shekherdimian S et al. Statewide impact of the COVID pandemic on pediatric appendicitis in California: a multicenter study. J Surg Res 2021;267:132–142
- Hsu YJ, Fu YW, Chin T. Seasonal variations in the occurrence of acute appendicitis and their relationship with the presence of fecaliths in children. BMC Pediatr 2019;19:443
- 16. Sippola S, Grönroos J, Sallinen V, Rautio T, Nordström P, Rantanen T et al. A randomised placebo-controlled double-blind multicentre trial comparing antibiotic therapy with placebo in the treatment of uncomplicated acute appendicitis: APPAC III trial study protocol. BMJ Open 2018;8:e023623
- Köhler F, Acar L, van den Berg A, Flemming S, Kastner C, Müller S et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on appendicitis treatment in Germany—a population-based analysis. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2021;406:377–383
- Neufeld MY, Bauerle W, Eriksson E, Azar FK, Evans HL, Johnson M et al. Where did the patients go? Changes in acute appendicitis presentation and severity of illness during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: a retrospective cohort study. Surgery 2021;169:808–815
- Tankel J, Keinan A, Blich O, Koussa M, Helou B, Shay S et al. The decreasing incidence of acute appendicitis during COVID-19: a retrospective multi-centre study. World J Surg 2020; 44:2458–2463



European Colorectal Congress

3 – 6 December 2023, St.Gallen, Switzerland

OVERVIEW Sun, 3 Dec 2023

MASTERCLASS PROCTOLOGY DAY ROBOTIC COURSE DAVOSCOURSE@ECC

SCIENTIFIC PROGRAMME Mon, 4 Dec – Wed, 6 Dec 2023

DIVERTICULAR DISEASE

Gut microbiome and surgery Phil Quirke, Leeds, UK

Diet in diverticular disease Pamela Buchwald, Lund, SE

Decision making in the management of acute complicated Diverticulitis beyond the guidelines Seraina Faes, Zurich, CH

Diverticular Abscess – Always drainage or who benefits from Surgery? Johannes Schultz, Oslo, NO

Perforated Diverticulitis: Damage Control, Hartmann's Procedure, Primary Anastomosis, Diverting Loop Reinhold Kafka-Ritsch, Innsbruck, AT

When to avoid protective stoma in colorectal surgery Antonino Spinelli, Milano, IT

ENDOMETRIOSIS

Endometriosis – what is the role of the abdominal surgeon Tuynman Juriaan, Amsterdam, NL

Challenges in Surgery of Endometriosis – always interdisciplinary? Peter Oppelt, Linz, AT; Andreas Shamiyeh, Linz, AT A gaze in the crystal ball: Where is the role of virtual reality and artificial Intelligence in colorectal surgery Müller Beat, Basel, CH

MALIGNANT COLORECTAL DISEASE

Cytoreductive Surgery and Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy – facts and hopes Michel Adamina, Winterthur, CH

Metastatic Colorectal Cancer – surgical approaches and limits Jürgen Weitz, Dresden, DE

Extended lymph node dissection for rectal cancer, is it still under debate? Miranda Kusters, Amsterdam, NL

Organ preservation functional outcome in rectal cancer treatment – in line with patient's needs? (Robot – laparoscopic – open surgery?) Hans de Wilt, Nijmegen, NL

ROBOTICS

Advances in Robotic Surgery and what we learnt so far Parvaiz Amjad, Portsmouth, UK

Challenging the market: Robotic (assistant) Devices and how to choose wisely (Da Vinci – Hugo Ras – Distalmotion ua) Khan Jim, London, UK

TAMIS - Robotic Transanal Surgery, does it make it easier? Knol Joep, Genk, BE

Live Surgery – Contonal Hospital of St.Gallen Walter Brunner, St.Gallen, CH; Salvadore Conde Morals, Sevilla, ES; Friedrich Herbst, Vienna, AUT; Amjad Parvaiz, Portsmouth, UK

Video Session

Lars Pahlmann Lecture Markus Büchler, Lisboa, PRT

Honorary Lecture Bill Heald, Lisboa, PRT

Information & Registration www.colorectalsurgery.eu