**ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Essential oil distillation residue as environmentally friendly feedstock in gasifcation: efect of dry air fow rate and temperature on gasifcation performance**

**Roda Gökçe Yılmaz Çinçin1 · Atakan Öngen[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9043-7382) · Osman Nuri Ağdağ[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3096-1331)**

Received: 4 April 2024 / Revised: 5 July 2024 / Accepted: 13 July 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

### **Abstract**

Despite the growing use of distillation to extract essential oils from aromatic plants, their residues pose a challenge due to their high cellulose-lignin content and resistance to biodegradation, requiring thermochemical treatment for removal. This paper presents the efect of fow rate of agent and operation temperature on the gasifcation of Oregano (*Origanum onites* L.) and Lavender (*Lavandula angustifolia*) distillation residue in an updraft fxed bed reactor. The syngas composition, lower heating value of syngas, carbon conversion efficiency, cold gas efficiency, and amount of product are assessed during gasifcation process. In the experimental studies, a laboratory-scale upstream fxed-bed gasifcation reactor made of stainless steel heated with a ceramic heater resistant was used. The studies were carried out at temperatures of 700, 800, and 900 °C and a dry air fow rate of 0.05–0.4 L/min to fnd optimum conditions for gasifcation. Results showed that increasing the temperature and reducing the dry air fow rate led to higher syngas production and heating value. The maximum volume of  $H_2$  in the syngas was measured as 40%. The HHV varied between 5 and 13 MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup>. The optimum flow rate and temperature for gasifcation in the updraft fxed bed reactor were found to be 0.05–0.1 L/min and 900 °C, respectively.

**Keywords** Agricultural waste · Biomass · Essential oil distillation residue · Fixed bed reactor · Gasifcation

# **1 Introduction**

The global demand for energy has seen substantial growth in recent years, a fact widely recognized in the literature [\[1](#page-12-0)[–3](#page-12-1)]. In 2022, global energy consumption reached approximately 25,500 TWh [\[4](#page-12-2)]. Conversely, Türkiye's gross electricity consumption slightly decreased by 0.5% to 331.1 TWh in the same year, with projections from the National Energy Plan suggesting an increase to 510.5 TWh by 2035 [\[5\]](#page-12-3). The decrease in electricity consumption in Turkey in 2022 can be attributed to several factors. One major reason is the economic slowdown, which often leads to reduced industrial and commercial activity, thereby lowering electricity demand. Additionally, energy efficiency measures and the adoption of energy-saving technologies might have contributed to the decline in consumption. Furthermore, seasonal variations, such as milder weather reducing the need for heating and cooling, can also impact electricity usage. Another potential factor could be changes in population behavior and energy policies promoting more sustainable consumption patterns. In 2022, Türkiye's energy production comprised 57.5% from fossil fuels, 39.4% from renewable sources, and 3.1% from other sources [[6\]](#page-12-4). The diminishing availability of fossil resources has heightened the necessity for renewable energy sources. Among these, residual biomass is particularly advantageous as it not only reduces biowaste and reliance on fossil fuels but also helps meet the growing energy demand. Biomass, a versatile and readily available resource, can be utilized in physicochemical, biochemical, and thermochemical processes [[7\]](#page-12-5).

Biomass is derived from various sources, primarily agricultural resources, agricultural residues, and forest resources [\[8](#page-12-6)]. Agricultural crop residue and by-products of agricultural crop processing industries are particularly benefcial due to their high annual production rates and low economic value. In 2022, Turkiye produced 128.6 million tons of agricultural

 $\boxtimes$  Roda Gökçe Yılmaz Çinçin rgokcey@pau.edu.tr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Engineering, Pamukkale University, Denizli, Turkey

Department of Environmental Engineering, Istanbul University - Cerrahpasa, Istanbul, Turkey

products, with notable increases in grains and other crops (14.6%), a slight decline in vegetables (0.5%), and a rise in fruits, drinks, and spice crops (7.7%) [[9\]](#page-12-7). Government funding has boosted the production of lavender and oregano, plants used in perfumes, medicines, and related areas. Oregano production increased by 109.5% to 44,358 tons, and lavender production increased by 26.4% to 7722 tons [[9\]](#page-12-7). Denizli, Türkiye's leading province for oregano production, contributed 15.7 thousand tons (87.6%) of the total production in 2019 and had 92.5% of the oregano growing area [[10\]](#page-12-8). Essential oils of such plants are obtained through steam distillation, during which large quantities of waste are generated, particularly in the production of essential oils from aromatic plants like lavender and oregano [[11](#page-12-9)]. These residues, high in lignin, are not easily biodegradable and unsuitable for animal feed. However, due to their rich lignocellulosic content and high heating value, they present significant energy potential [\[12](#page-12-10), [13\]](#page-12-11). Consequently, it is feasible to process these residues through thermochemical conversion methods. The considerable volume of biomass waste poses challenges in collection, transportation, and storage [[14\]](#page-12-12). Since, aromatic plants are regionally produced and processed (lavenderin Isparta, oreganoin Denizli), consolidating their waste disposal in a single facility could reduce these logistical costs. This study is the frst to explore the gasifcation of oregano and lavender distillation residues as feedstock.

Unlike other thermal treatment methods, gasifcation primarily aims to produce high-quality syngas with a high energy content, particularly hydrogen [\[15](#page-12-13)]. Syngas typically contains carbon dioxide  $(CO<sub>2</sub>)$ , carbon monoxide  $(CO)$ , water (H<sub>2</sub>O), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>), nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>), and hydrocarbons [[7,](#page-12-5) [16,](#page-12-14) [17](#page-12-15)]. Additionally, gasifcation yields solid products, liquid products, and ash, with some studies focusing on maximizing syngas production while minimizing by-products  $[2, 18-21]$  $[2, 18-21]$  $[2, 18-21]$  $[2, 18-21]$  $[2, 18-21]$ . By converting waste into energy, gasifcation not only reduces the quantity of waste dumped in landflls but also generates substantial economic benefts. Biomass gasifcation is favored over coal gasification due to its higher efficiency and lower emissions of NOx, SOx gases, aerosols, and dust particles [\[22](#page-12-19), [23](#page-12-20)].

Several factors infuence the gasifcation process, including biomass moisture content, particle size, operation time, gasifcation agent type and fow rate, and gasifcation temperature [\[24](#page-12-21), [25](#page-12-22)]. The primary gasifcation agents are air, oxygen, steam,  $CO_2$ , and  $H_2$  [[26](#page-12-23)]. Air is the most popular agent due to its low cost and availability, and using air as the gasifying agent yields  $H<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations between 5 and 25% [\[27\]](#page-12-24). Other crucial parameters include the gasifcation agent fow rate, operation temperature, and biomass particle size. According to Fremaux et al. [\[28](#page-12-25)], higher temperatures and smaller feedstock particle sizes in fuidized bed gasifers result in increased  $H_2$  production and reduced tar content.

The drying, pyrolysis, and gasification processes occur at varying reactor temperatures each facilitating diferent chemical reactions.

Numerous studies have investigated biomass gasifcation  $[29-32]$  $[29-32]$ . Oztan et al.  $[33]$  $[33]$  examined the steam gasification of Türkiye's hazelnut and walnut shells to produce syngas rich in methane and hydrogen, optimizing gasifcation temperature. Gasifcation temperatures between 600 and 800 °C increased hydrogen content and decreased methane content. Wang et al. [[34\]](#page-13-3) studied the effect of temperature on syngas quality in pig compost gasifcation, fnding that higher temperatures improved carbon conversion efficiency and syngas yield, enhancing  $H_2$  content from 42.72 to 53.29%.

Gavaric et al. [\[35\]](#page-13-4) analyzed biologically active compounds in post-distillation thyme waste identifying rutin, rosmarinic acid, and other phenolic chemicals.. Other studies have explored composting essential oil distillation residues [\[36\]](#page-13-5), extracting ethanol [\[37](#page-13-6)], using residues as lamb feed to improve meat fatty acid profles [\[38](#page-13-7)], producing biochar [\[39](#page-13-8)], and as adsorbents [[40\]](#page-13-9) among other value-added products [[11\]](#page-12-9). Despite these investigations, no research has been conducted on the gasifcation of distillation wastes.

Given the context, this study aims to investigate the gasifcation of oregano and lavender distillation residues, which are abundant and underutilized in specific regions. The primary objective is to assess the impact of gasifcation temperature and dry air fow rate on syngas quality and the performance of a lab-scale updraft fxed bed gasifer. Key metrics include syngas concentrations  $(CO, CO_2, CH_4, and$  $H<sub>2</sub>$  percentages), heating value, cold gas efficiency, and carbon conversion efficiency.

# **2 Materials and methods**

#### **2.1 Materials**

The oregano (*Origanum onites* L.) and lavender (*Lavandula angustifolia*) residue which were obtained from an aromatic oil distillation facility in a farm, in Denizli, Türkiye were chosen as the feedstock. The feedstocks were air-dried for a period of 10 days at about 25 °C to reduce water content caused by water vapor from the distillation process. After the drying period, the samples were shredded and sieved to obtain feedstock with a particle size of less than 1.0 cm. Figure [1](#page-2-0) shows the feedstocks. A TGA analyzer (Nietzsche STA 449) for thermal degradation studies of biomass and a CHNS/O analyzer (Leco Truspec CHN-2007S) for ultimate analysis were used. The bomb calorimeter (Leco AC500) was used to determine the heating value of the feedstock materials. Cellulose content was determined using the ANKOM system, which focuses on crude fber determinations [[41\]](#page-13-10). Hemicellulose and lignin content were analyzed

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Feedstock materials



**Oregano residue Lavender residue** 

using the Laboratory Analytical Procedure (LAP) developed by Sluiter et al. [\[42\]](#page-13-11), which involves the quantifcation of structural carbohydrates and lignin in biomass. The results of the proximate and ultimate analysis of feedstocks are presented in Table [1.](#page-2-1)

### **2.2 Experimental setup and procedure**

Experiments were conducted using a laboratory-scale fixed-bed gasification reactor with a volume of 2.3 L, as illustrated in Fig. [2](#page-3-0). The reactor operated as an updraft gasifier system, incorporating a cyclone, condenser, and filters. Both the upper and lower sections were designed with openable lids for loading feedstocks. A hose was positioned at the bottom for the gas inlet and at the top for the gas outlet. The reactor was constructed of stainless steel (AISI-310S), covered with ceramic insulation, and capable of operating at temperatures up to 1200 °C. It was equipped with a K-type thermocouple for temperature measurement.

For the gasification experiments, 50 g of feedstock was used. It was introduced into the updraft gasifier (as shown in Fig. [2](#page-3-0)) by opening the top lid before each experiment. Prior to each run, the gasifier was sealed, and the upper lid was checked for potential leaks using an O-ring gasket to ensure a tight seal and prevent leakage. To identify the optimal flow rate, the dry air was introduced to the gasifier at four different rates: 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, and 0.4 L/min. The fixed bed reactor was heated up to study temperatures of 700 °C, 800 °C, and 900 °C, with a constant heating rate maintained throughout the experiments. The produced gas passed through the cyclone separator, water condenser, ceramic filters, and a glass wool filter. The condensable matter and vapors were separated in the cyclone separator and the water condenser. The fine particles were removed in filters. Clean syngas compositions were measured every minute using an ABB brand syngas analyzer. Continuous records of the measured gas values were made. After reaching the desired level, the system was switched off. The experiments took approximately 30–50 min to reach a steady state. After allowing the reactor to cool, solid and liquid products were collected and weighed.



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Table 1** Properties of the feedstocks

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 2 a** Schematic diagram. **b** Real picture of gasification system



(a)



### **2.3 Methods of data processing**

Carbon conversion efficiency (CCE) is a measure of how efectively carbon in the feedstock is converted into desired products during a chemical process, such as biomass conversion or combustion. CCE was calculated by Eq. [1](#page-3-1) [[23\]](#page-12-20).

$$
CCE(\%) = \frac{G(CO\% + CH_4 + \% + CO_2\%) \times 12}{22.4 \times C\%} \times 100\% \tag{1}
$$

where  $G = \text{dry gas yield } (Nm^3/kg)$  and  $C\% = \text{mass percentage}$ of carbon in the biomass feedstock.

Higher heating value (HHV) of syngas was determined below Eq. [2](#page-3-2) [[43](#page-13-12)].

$$
HHV_{syngas}\left(\frac{MJ}{m^3}\right) = H_2\% \times 12.7 + CO\% \times 12.6 + CH_4\% \times 39.8
$$
 (2)

Cold gas efficiency (CGE) is a measure of the efficiency of converting the chemical energy in a fuel feedstock (such as biomass) into the chemical energy of a gaseous fuel (syngas) produced through processes like gasifcation. CGE was calculated by Eq. [3](#page-3-3) [[44\]](#page-13-13).

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
CGE\left(\% \right) = \frac{G \times HHV \text{ of product gas}}{HHV \text{ of fuel}} \times 100\%
$$
 (3)

where  $HHV = Higher$  heating value.

# <span id="page-3-1"></span>**2.4 Fourier‑transform IR spectroscopy analysis**

<span id="page-3-2"></span>For the analysis of lavender and oregano residue samples, Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was utilized, employing a Shimadzu IRSpirit-T spectrometer. The instrument settings included a resolution of  $4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $20 \text{ scans}$ per sample to enhance spectral quality. The samples were fnely ground and formed into potassium bromide (KBr) pellets to maximize interaction with the infrared radiation. Spectral data were recorded within the range of 4000 to  $500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , allowing for the identification of key absorption bands. These bands were then analyzed and cross-referenced

with established spectra to accurately determine the functional groups present in the biomass samples.

### **2.5 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)**

Thermogravimetric (TGA/DTG) analyses were carried out on samples of lavender and oregano using the Netzsch STA 449 f3 Jupiter simultaneous thermal analysis (STA) instrument. Each test was carried out with 1.1 mg of the sample placed in an alumina crucible at 1200 °C at 10 °C/min in a nitrogen atmosphere fowing at 20 mL/min and with 1.3 mg of the sample placed in an oxygen atmosphere fowing at 50 mL/min at 1200 °C at 10 °C/min.

# **3 Results and discussion**

### **3.1 Properties of feedstocks**

The proximate analysis of oregano residue (OR) and lavender residue (LR) reveals distinct diferences in their composition (Table [1\)](#page-2-1). The moisture content of OR is higher (6.18%) compared to LR (4.15%). The volatile matter in OR (72.18%) is signifcantly lower than in LR (88.49%). Conversely, OR has a higher fxed carbon content (21.40%) compared to LR (7.27%). The ash content is relatively low for both residues, with OR at 0.24% and LR at 0.09%. These values suggest that LR is more volatile and has lower fxed carbon, making it more suitable for processes requiring high volatile matter, such as pyrolysis. The higher heating value (HHV) is an important parameter for determining the energy potential of biomass. LR shows a higher HHV (17.84 MJ/ kg) compared to OR (13.59 MJ/kg), indicating that LR has a higher energy content. This is consistent with its higher carbon and hydrogen content observed in the ultimate analysis. Other research has shown HHV values for similar biomass types ranging from 15 to 20 MJ/kg, supporting the higher energy potential of LR [\[45](#page-13-14)].

The ultimate analysis provides insights into the elemental composition of the residues. LR has a higher carbon content (41.34%) compared to OR (32.23%), which correlates with its higher HHV. The hydrogen content is also higher in LR (6.44%) than in OR (5.09%). The nitrogen content is slightly higher in LR (1.2%) compared to OR (0.98%), while sulfur content remains low in both residues, with lavender at 0.11% and oregano at 0.07%. The oxygen content is signifcantly higher in OR (61.64%) compared to LR (50.91%). These fndings are closely aligned with other studies that report carbon contents of 48.1% and 42.5% and hydrogen contents of 5.8% and 6.00% in lavender straw and oreganum stalk, respectively [\[13](#page-12-11), [46](#page-13-15)]

The lignocellulosic composition analysis indicates that LR has higher cellulose content (48.13%) compared to OR (37.70%). Hemicellulose content is slightly higher in OR  $(16.48\%)$  than in LR  $(13.21\%)$ . Lignin content is fairly similar in both residues, with oregano at 24.69% and lavender at 22.04%. The higher cellulose content in LR suggests it has better potential for biofuel production through processes such as fermentation or enzymatic hydrolysis. Literature data for lavender waste show lignin content ranging from 17.1 to 25.6% according to include leaves, branches, and fowers, supporting the results obtained in this study [[47\]](#page-13-16). Similarly, the composition of oregano stalks has been reported as 33.8% cellulose, 10.9% lignin, and 9.3% hemicellulose, providing a comparative basis for the lignocellulosic content observed in diferent biomass sources [\[46\]](#page-13-15). In summary, the analysis indicates that LR has superior energy potential compared to OR, primarily due to its higher volatile matter, carbon, hydrogen content, and HHV, while OR, with its higher fxed carbon content, might be better suited for processes requiring char production.

### **3.2 FTIR analysis results**

Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy is a valuable tool for characterizing the chemical structures of organic materials. In this study, FTIR spectra of lavender residue and oregano residue were analyzed to identify the primary functional groups present in these biomass samples. Figure [3](#page-5-0) indicates the spectra recorded in the range of  $4000-500$  cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Both spectra exhibit broad O–H stretching bands around  $3320 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , indicating the presence of hydroxyl groups and hydrogen bonding in cellulose in both samples [\[48\]](#page-13-17). C-H stretching vibrations around 2920  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 2850  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  are present in both spectra, suggesting the presence of aliphatic chains in both types of waste. These bands are consistent with the typical cellulose structure, which is a linear polymer composed of glucose units linked by β-1,4-glycosidic bonds [\[49](#page-13-18)].

 $C = O$  stretching bands around 1725 cm<sup>-1</sup> are characteristic of carbonyl groups in lignin. Aromatic  $C = C$  stretching bands around  $1600-1500$  cm<sup>-1</sup> are observed in both spectra, confrming the presence of aromatic compounds, likely from lignin, in both samples. It has been stated that the absorption band at  $1512 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , attributed to skeletal vibrations of the aromatic ring, is used for lignin determination [\[50](#page-13-19)]. Bands in the range of  $1026-1036$  cm<sup>-1</sup> are due to the vibrations of groups present in the structure of lignin [\[51](#page-13-20)].

The FTIR analysis of lavender and oregano residue confrms the presence of both cellulose and lignin, with characteristic peaks matching those found in the literature. This analysis reveals the presence of key functional groups associated with cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin, as well as specifc organic compounds characteristic of these plant materials.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3** FTIR spectra of raw feedstocks



# **3.3 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) results**

under nitrogen  $(N_2)$  and oxygen  $(O_2)$  atmospheres are presented in Figs. [4](#page-5-1) and [5,](#page-6-0) respectively.

The thermogram (TGA curve) and its derivative form (DTG curve) of oregano residue and lavender residue

Under a nitrogen atmosphere (pyrolysis conditions), Fig. [3](#page-5-0) shows weight losses occurring between 95 and 578 ℃ for OR and between 87 and 599 ℃ for LR. During these



<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 4** Under the  $N_2$  atmosphere TG/DTG curves of **a** the oregano residue and **b** the lavender residue

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Under the  $O_2$  atmosphere TG/DTG curves of **a** the oregano residue and **b** the lavender residue



temperature ranges, moisture and volatile matter in the feedstocks transitioned to the gas phase, resulting in weight losses of approximately 50.10% for OR and 55.14% for LR. Additional weight losses occurred between 578 and 798 ℃ for OR and between 599 and 890 ℃ for LR. This fnal stage marks the end of the decomposition process of fxed carbon. Evaluating the TG curves, it is evident that mass losses continue to increase with rising temperature. Ultimately, the feedstocks retained 27.58% (OR) and 22.64% (LR) residual material in the form of char which did not decompose.

The DTG curves under an oxygen atmosphere (combustion conditions) are illustrated in Fig. [4](#page-5-1). The initial weight losses occurred between 155 and 385 ℃ for OR and between 140 and 388 ℃ for LR. This stage corresponds to moisture loss and the release of light volatile matter. This result aligns with the volatile combustion of wheat straw and hay, which are comparable to the feedstocks, at temperatures of 160 to 330 °C and 135 to 330 °C, respectively [[9\]](#page-12-7). At this stage, both feedstocks experienced the greatest mass losses, amounting to 46.88% (OR) and 45.67% (LR). Subsequent weight losses were observed between 385 and 548 ℃ for OR and between 388 and 555 ℃ for LR. The fnal losses occurred in the ranges of 580 to 711 ℃ for OR and 561 to 675 ℃ for LR. Ultimately, the samples retained residual material comprising 22.36% (OR) and 26.76% (LR). The DTG peaks were recorded at 316.7 ℃ for OR and 320.8 °C for LR under the N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, and at 306.6 °C and 461.6 °C for OR and 303.4 °C and 463.4 °C for LR under the  $O_2$ atmosphere (Figs. [4](#page-5-1) and [5](#page-6-0)).

The mass losses at 220 to 315 °C, 315 to 400 °C, and ambient temperature to 900 °C may indicate the degradation of hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin, respectively [[17\]](#page-12-15). In this study, the initial and secondary weight losses likely represent the degradation of cellulose and hemicellulose. Literature supports that losses between 250 and 400 °C are attributed to the degradation of cellulose and hemicellulose as evidenced by the TGA curve of Longan seed [[10](#page-12-8)]. Gogoi et al. reported that the DTG peaks for wood and sawmill dust indicated cellulose decomposition at 350 °C and hemicellulose at 290 °C [[52](#page-13-21)]. Another biomass, Lufa cylindrica, exhibits hemicellulose breakdown at 280 °C, cellulose pyrolysis-related mass loss at 340 °C, and lignin pyrolysis as a gradual process persisting beyond 900 °C [[11\]](#page-12-9). A study conducted in an inert nitrogen environment highlighted that increased mass loss at stage 2, occurring above 356 °C, was due to lignin degradation [[53](#page-13-22)].

Comparing the TGA atmospheres, it was observed that mass losses occurred at lower temperatures under the  $O<sub>2</sub>$ atmosphere than under the  $N_2$  atmosphere. This is because volatiles evolve at lower temperatures during raw material combustion, thereby infuencing the combustion process of fxed carbon with oxygen [[9\]](#page-12-7).

# **3.4 Efect of gasifcation temperature and dry air fow rate on syngas composition**

To investigate the impact of fow rate and gasifcation temperature on gasifcation process and the composition of the main syngas products, oregano and lavender residues were subjected to varying flow rates  $(0.05, 0.1, 0.2,$  and  $0.4$  L/ min), using dry air as the gasifcation atmosphere at diferent temperatures (700, 800 and 900 °C). Figs.  $6a$ –e and  $7a$ –e illustrate the main syngas products during the gasifcation of OR and LR in a fxed-bed reactor, respectively. The primary constituents of the syngas, including CO,  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , and  $H<sub>2</sub>$  were quantified as percentages. Additionally, the  $H<sub>2</sub>/CO$ ratio was determined at each peak value.

The fgures indicate that increasing the gasifcation temperature from 700 to 900 °C and decreasing the dry air fow rate from 0.4 to 0.05 L/min resulted an increase in  $H_2$  content from 18 to 37% for OR and from 23 to 40% for LR. This increase can be attributed to enhanced endothermic reactions such as water gas reactions and steam-methane reforming reactions. High temperatures increase the generation of  $H_2$  and CO due to endothermic reactions [[54\]](#page-13-23). The trends observed with the increase in gasifcation temperature



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Efect of gasifcation temperature and dry air flow rate on syngas composition of OR. **a** H<sub>2</sub>. **b** CO. **c** CH<sub>4</sub>. **d** CO<sub>2</sub>.  $e H<sub>2</sub>/CO$ 

<span id="page-8-0"></span>



indicate that the production of  $H_2$  rises during gasification of diferent biomass types such as bagasse, groundnut shell, wooden shavings, pine sawdust, and olive bagasse which are consistent with the fndings [[23,](#page-12-20) [55\]](#page-13-24). Researchers found that while raising the equivalence ratio (ER) had no efect on the  $H<sub>2</sub>$  content, increasing the temperature from 750 to 900 °C caused the H<sub>2</sub> level to rise from 8.95 to 16.34% in the gasifcation study of pine sawdust [[55\]](#page-13-24).

CO concentrations in the syngas ranged between 13 and 31% for OR and between 14 and 29% for LR. CO formation in the gasifcation reactor increases with temperature due to increased water gas and Boudouard reactions [\[44](#page-13-13)]. Thus, higher temperatures lead to increased conversion of carbon with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and steam into higher amounts of CO. Martínez et al. [\[25](#page-12-22)] demonstrated that increasing temperatures positively influence the  $H<sub>2</sub>$  and CO gas composition during the gasifcation of corncobs. These fndings are consistent with studies by Cai et al. [\[56](#page-13-25)] and Mercan et al. [[57\]](#page-13-26). In contrast to temperature, increasing fow rates result in decreased CO concentrations. A study examining diferent equivalence ratios for sawdust, rice husk, and bamboo dust found that CO concentrations decreased, varying between approximately 14.7% and 19.8% as the ER increased from 0.19 to 0.35 [[29](#page-13-0)]. The  $H<sub>2</sub>/CO$  ratio also increased with rising temperature due to the water–gas shift reaction [\[44](#page-13-13)].

The results show that as temperature increased, the percentages of CO and  $H_2$  increased and the percentage of  $CH_4$ fuctuated. Methanation, involving the hydrogenation of CO and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to produce methane, is highly sensitive to temperature. Low temperatures and high pressures favor this reaction, but practical fuctuations are common in thermal gasifcation systems [\[58](#page-13-27)] Research fndings indicate that during the gasifcation of wood and straw pellets, methane content remains relatively stable across varying temperatures, while concentrations of CO and  $H<sub>2</sub>$  notably increase at higher temperature regimes [\[59](#page-13-28)].

Higher air fow rates increase the rate of combustion processes due to more oxygen, resulting in higher  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ amounts at higher air flow rates  $[60]$  $[60]$ . CO<sub>2</sub> composition varied between 30 and 43% at diferent temperatures and dry air flow rates, decreasing in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  with increasing gasification temperature. Similar trends have also been reported in previous studies [[61,](#page-14-0) [62\]](#page-14-1). Zhao et al. [[63](#page-14-2)] reported that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  decreased with increasing temperature and decreasing equivalence ratio (fuel/air ratio). According to Yan et al. [\[64](#page-14-3)], these trends can be attributed to three factors: increased temperature facilitates endothermic processes, releases more unconverted volatiles, and promotes tar cracking and reforming.

### **3.5 Efect of gasifcation temperature and dry air fow rate on performance of gasifcation**

To investigate syngas composition alone is insufficient to understand the efect of gasifcation temperature and dry air flow rate on gasification. Key parameters such as gas yield, cold gas efficiency (CGE), and carbon conversion efficiency (CCE) must also be examined in the gasification of biomass. The higher heating value (HHV) of the syngas, gas yield, CGE, and CCE as functions of reactor temperature and dry air fow rate are presented in Figs. [8](#page-9-0)a–d and [9](#page-10-0)a–d for OR and LR gasifcation, respectively. The HHV findings indicate a minimum of  $5 \text{ MJ/Nm}^3$  and a maximum

of 13  $MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup>$ , showing a decreasing trend with lower gasifcation temperature and higher dry air fow rates. As the fow rate increases, the oxidation rate of combustible syngas also rises, leading to a reduction in both its content and heating value. [[56](#page-13-25)]. Conversely, an increase in temperature facilitates the breakdown of hydrocarbons, thereby enhancing the heating value. Sapariya et al. [[23\]](#page-12-20) studied the effect of temperature and equivalence ratio on variable biomass gasifcation and found that the heating value increases with rising temperature. These results align with trends reported by other authors, yet these trends are not always observed. For instance, a decreasing trend with increasing temperature was reported in an experiment on the gasifcation of pine saw dust performed by Cao et al. [[65](#page-14-4)].

Variations in syngas gas yield with fow rate and temperature for OR and LR are shown in Figs. [8b](#page-9-0) and [9b](#page-10-0). The gas yield was varied between 0.2 and  $1 \text{ Nm}^3/\text{kg}$  for OR and 0.4 and  $1.4 \text{ Nm}^3/\text{kg}$  for LR. It is evident that there is an increase with the rising temperature and flow rate of the gasification agent. The increase in gas yield is attributed to the devolatilization of carbon in the biomass [\[29](#page-13-0)]. Similarly, Zhang et al. [[66\]](#page-14-5) found that raising the temperature during gasifcation increases the gas yield. Ismail et al. [[67\]](#page-14-6) reported that an increase in oxygen levels as the ER increases promotes the tar decomposition reaction and increases the gas yield. In contrast, Zhao et al. [[63](#page-14-2)] observed that a high oxygen content in the gasifcation agent decreases the amount of gas produced, consequently reducing the gas yield.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 8** Efect of gasifcation temperature and dry air flow rate on gasifcation performance of OR. **a** High heating value. **b** Gas yield. **c** Cold gas efficiency. **d** Carbon conversion efficiency



<span id="page-10-0"></span>



CCE signifcantly increased from 22.60 to 117.02% for OR and from 39.91 to 109.76% for LR with increasing gasification temperature and dry air flow rate. As previously stated, higher gasifcation temperatures favor the Boudouard reaction and water–gas reaction [[44](#page-13-13)]. Consequently, CCE signifcantly increased in all cases due to the enhancement of char oxidation. According to Hu et al. [[68](#page-14-7)], the temperature increase allowed the carbon conversion to rise from around 70 to 84%. Wang et al. [[34](#page-13-3)] also reported that gas yield increased from 0.87 to 1.38 Nm3 /kg feed and CCE increased from 72.88 to 91.27% with the temperature increase from 700 to 800 °C. Yan et al. [[64\]](#page-14-3) concluded that when the temperature rose from 600 to 850 °C, the CCE and the dry gas yield signifcantly increased, from 13.16 to 95.78% and 0.19 to 2.44  $Nm^3/kg$ , respectively.

CGE also increased from 17.66 to 71.23% for OR and 26.9 to 70.63% for LR with increasing gasifcation temperature and dry air fow rate. The increase in gas yield was concluded to result in an increase in CGE. Song et al. [[55\]](#page-13-24) found similar trends in CGE, CCE, and gas yield for the gasifcation of pine sawdust.

# **3.6 Efect of gasifcation temperature and gasifcation agent fow rate on product mass**

The variations of gaseous, liquid, and solid products/residues obtained from experiments of oregano and lavender residue gasifcation are presented in Table [2](#page-11-0). The amount

of solid residue was measured at a maximum of 0.4 L/min, 800 °C, at OR and a minimum of 0.4 L/min, 900 °C, at LR. The amount of solid and liquid residues varied between 11.75 and 20.15 g and between 6.53 and 15.94 g, respectively. The diference between the total quantity of input and the total amount of liquid and solid residues was used to determine the amount of syngas. The syngas ranged from 19.19 to 28.47 g. In most of cases, as reactor temperature and energy levels increased, syngas production increased, thereby reducing the amount of liquid and solid products, according to the system's mass balance. Similar results were obtained in the experiments conducted by Wang et al. [\[34](#page-13-3)]. In contrast, researchers found that increasing the temperature from 700 to 850 °C decreases the formation of liquid products and increases the gas yield, the  $H<sub>2</sub>$  yield, and the CCE Increasing the temperature favors the formation of products in endothermic processes and the reactants in exothermic reactions, according to Le Chatelier's principle [[69](#page-14-8)]. In some cases, particularly in the gasifcation of oregano residue, it was observed that increasing the temperature resulted in a higher amount of liquid product. Although syngas is expected to be the primary product of the gasifcation process, the amount of liquid product was also high. This outcome can be attributed to the fxed-bed reactors used in this study, where materials, heat, and fuel cannot uniformly interact [[70\]](#page-14-9). Additionally, because biomass contains more volatile materials and has a more complicated structure than coal, often yields higher tar content (liquid product) [[71](#page-14-10)].

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Table 2** Material conversion and mass balance of gaseous, liquid, and solid products

Flow rate of gasification agent	Tempera- ture $(^{\circ}C)$	Sample $(g)$	Oregano residue gasification			Lavender residue gasification		
			Solid residue $(g)$	Liquid residue (g)	Syngas $(g)$	Solid residue $(g)$	Liquid residue (g)	Syngas (g)
0.05 L/min dry air	700	50	18.58	10.47	20.95	14.55	15.94	19.51
	800	50	18.63	8.63	22.74	14.59	14.45	20.96
	900	50	18.84	11.28	19.88	13.19	14.60	22.21
$0.1$ L/min dry air	700	50	16.92	10.54	22.54	13.50	10.00	26.50
	800	50	18.91	10.02	21.07	16.22	13.71	20.07
	900	50	16.88	10.46	22.66	13.55	12.97	23.48
0.2 L/min dry air	700	50	16.31	10.50	23.19	19.12	14.72	16.16
	800	50	15.93	6.53	27.54	14.09	12.53	23.38
	900	50	17.52	8.26	24.22	13.13	12.66	24.21
$0.4$ L/min dry air	700	50	19.79	7.17	23.04	13.65	13.06	23.29
	800	50	20.15	10.66	19.19	12.55	10.39	27.06
	900	50	16.32	11.51	22.17	11.75	9.78	28.47

# **4 Conclusions**

This study investigated the gasifcation performance of oregano and lavender residues as feedstock material in an updraft gasifcation process. The efects of gasifcation temperature and dry air fow rate on gasifcation performance were thoroughly examined. The results demonstrated the production of hydrogen-rich syngas, a valuable energy resource, under various conditions. Notably, the hydrogen content reached a maximum of 40% at a gasifcation temperature of 900 °C and a dry air flow rate of 0.05 L/min for lavender residue.

Key fndings from the study indicate that both syngas production and its heating value increase with rising temperatures and decreasing dry air fow rates. At higher gasifcation temperatures, there was a notable enhancement in the yields of hydrogen and carbon monoxide gases, cold gas efficiency, and carbon conversion efficiency. Specifically, the higher heating value (HHV) of the syngas varied between 5 and 13  $MJ/Nm<sup>3</sup>$ , demonstrating the energy potential of the produced gas.

Moreover, the Carbon Conversion Efficiency (CCE) and Cold Gas Efficiency (CGE) exhibited notable improvements with rising gasification temperatures and air flow rates. The CCE for OR increased from 22.60 to 117.02%, while for LR, it surged from 39.91 to 109.76%. Similarly, the CGE for OR and LR increased from 17.66 to 71.23% and 26.9 to 70.63%, respectively, indicating a signifcant enhancement in gasification efficiency.

The study identifed the optimal operating conditions for gasifcation in an updraft fxed bed reactor as a temperature of 900 °C and a dry air fow rate between 0.05 and 0.1 L/min. Under these conditions, the gasifcation process achieved signifcant improvements in syngas production,

with notable increases in the yield of hydrogen and other valuable gases. The composition analysis of the syngas revealed that the  $H_2$  content ranged between 25 and 39%, CO between 17 and 43%,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  between 11 and 17%, and  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  between 1.6 and 3.2%, depending on the operational parameters. The experimental data underscore the potential of utilizing aromatic plant residues, particularly those high in lignin content, as efective feedstock for gasifcation.

In conclusion, this study provides a comprehensive analysis of the potential of oregano and lavender residues as feedstocks for gasifcation. The fndings indicate that optimizing gasifcation parameters, particularly temperature and air fow rate can signifcantly enhance syngas yield, carbon conversion, and cold gas efficiency. These insights contribute valuable knowledge for the development of efficient and sustainable biomass gasifcation technologies, promoting the utilization of agricultural residues for renewable energy production. Further research is recommended to explore the long-term operational stability and economic feasibility of large-scale gasifcation systems using these residues.

**Author contribution** All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection, and analysis were performed by Roda Gökçe YILMAZ ÇİNÇİN, Atakan ÖNGEN, and Osman Nuri AĞDAĞ. Supervision was performed by Atakan ÖNGEN and Osman Nuri AĞDAĞ. Funding acquisition was provided by Osman Nuri AĞDAĞ. The frst draft of the manuscript was written by Roda Gökçe YILMAZ ÇİNÇİN and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

**Funding** Open access funding provided by the Scientifc and Technological Research Council of Türkiye (TÜBİTAK). This study was supported by the Scientifc Research Coordination Unit of Pamukkale University under project number 2021FEBE029.

#### **Declarations**

**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

# **References**

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>1. La Villetta M, Costa M, Massarotti N (2017) Modelling approaches to biomass gasifcation: a review with emphasis on the stoichiometric method. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 74:71–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.02.027>
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>2. Menon SD, Sampath K, Kaarthik SS (2021) Feasibility studies of coconut shells biomass for downdraft gasifcation. Mater Today Proc 44:3133–3137.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.02.813>
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>3. Wang Y, Wu JJ (2023) Thermochemical conversion of biomass: potential future prospects. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 187:113754.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.113754>
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>4. Statista (2024) Net electricity consumption worldwide in select years from 1980 to 2022. [https://www.statista.com/statistics/](https://www.statista.com/statistics/280704/world-power-consumption/) [280704/world-power-consumption/](https://www.statista.com/statistics/280704/world-power-consumption/). Accessed 04.10.2023
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>5. Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources (2022) Türkiye Ulusal Enerji Planı. [https://enerji.gov.tr/Media/Dizin/EIGM/tr/Raporlar/](https://enerji.gov.tr/Media/Dizin/EIGM/tr/Raporlar/TUEP/Türkiye_Ulusal_Enerji_Planı.pdf) [TUEP/Türkiye\\_Ulusal\\_Enerji\\_Planı.pdf.](https://enerji.gov.tr/Media/Dizin/EIGM/tr/Raporlar/TUEP/Türkiye_Ulusal_Enerji_Planı.pdf) Accessed 06.10.2023
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>6. Republic of Türkiye Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources (2023) Electricity.<https://enerji.gov.tr/infobank-energy-electricity>. Accessed 06.10.2023
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>7. Tezer Ö, Karabağ N, Öngen A et al (2022) Biomass gasifcation for sustainable energy production: a review. Int J Hydrogen Energy 47:15419–15433. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.02.158) [02.158](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.02.158)
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>8. Ben-Iwo J, Manovic V, Longhurst P (2016) Biomass resources and biofuels potential for the production of transportation fuels in Nigeria. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 63:172–192. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.05.050) [org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.05.050](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.05.050)
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>9. Tüik (2023) Parfümeri, Eczacılık ve Benzeri Alanlarda Kullanılan Bitkiler, Yem Bitkileri Tohumu. [https://data.tuik.gov.tr/Kategori/](https://data.tuik.gov.tr/Kategori/GetKategori?p=Tarim-111) [GetKategori?p=Tarim-111](https://data.tuik.gov.tr/Kategori/GetKategori?p=Tarim-111). Accessed 01.09.2023
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>10. Tagem (2021) Tıbbi ve Aromatik Bitkiler Sektör Politika Belgesi 2020–2024. [https://www.tarimorman.gov.tr/TAGEM/Belgeler/](https://www.tarimorman.gov.tr/TAGEM/Belgeler/yayin/T%C4%B1bbi%20ve%20Aromatik%20Bitkiler%20SPB.pdf) [yayin/T%C4%B1bbi%20ve%20Aromatik%20Bitkiler%20SPB.](https://www.tarimorman.gov.tr/TAGEM/Belgeler/yayin/T%C4%B1bbi%20ve%20Aromatik%20Bitkiler%20SPB.pdf) [pdf](https://www.tarimorman.gov.tr/TAGEM/Belgeler/yayin/T%C4%B1bbi%20ve%20Aromatik%20Bitkiler%20SPB.pdf). Accessed 09.10.2023
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>11. Saha A, Basak BB (2020) Scope of value addition and utilization of residual biomass from medicinal and aromatic plants. Ind Crops Prod 145.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111979>
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>12. Mishra D, Khare P, Das MR et al (2018) Characterization of crystalline cellulose extracted from distilled waste of Cymbopogon winterianus. Cellul Chem Technol 52:9–17
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>13. Lesage-Meessen L, Bou M, Ginies C et al (2018) Lavender- and lavandin-distilled straws: an untapped feedstock with great potential for the production of high-added value compounds and fungal

enzymes. Biotechnol Biofuels 11:1–13. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-018-1218-5) [s13068-018-1218-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-018-1218-5)

- <span id="page-12-12"></span>14. Akbarian A, Andooz A, Kowsari E et al (2022) Challenges and opportunities of lignocellulosic biomass gasifcation in the path of circular bioeconomy. Bioresour Technol 362:127774. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2022.127774) [doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2022.127774](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2022.127774)
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>15. Widjaya ER, Chen G, Bowtell L, Hills C (2018) Gasifcation of non-woody biomass: a literature review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 89:184–193.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.03.023>
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>16. Gür M, Canbaz ED (2020) Analysis of syngas production and reaction zones in hydrogen oriented underground coal gasifcation. Fuel 269:117331. [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2020.117331) [117331](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2020.117331)
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>17. Yang H, Yan R, Chen H et al (2007) Characteristics of hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin pyrolysis. Fuel 86:1781–1788. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2006.12.013) [doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2006.12.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2006.12.013)
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>18. Li W, Wei M, Liu Y et al (2019) Catalysts evaluation for production of hydrogen gas and carbon nanotubes from the pyrolysis-catalysis of waste tyres. Int J Hydrogen Energy 44:19563– 19572. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.05.204>
- 19. Yang F-L, Cao J-P, Zhao X-Y et al (2019) Acid washed lignite char supported bimetallic Ni-Co catalyst for low temperature catalytic reforming of corncob derived volatiles. Energy Convers Manag 196:1257–1266. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.encon](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2019.06.075) [man.2019.06.075](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2019.06.075)
- 20. Singh S, Zhang Q, Sun C et al (2020) Bioresource technology energy production from steam gasifcation processes and parameters that contemplate in biomass gasifer – a review. Bioresour Technol 297:122481. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122481) [122481](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122481)
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>21. Ren J, Cao J, Zhao X et al (2019) Recent advances in syngas production from biomass catalytic gasifcation: a critical review on reactors, catalysts, catalytic mechanisms and mathematical models. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 116:109426. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109426) [10.1016/j.rser.2019.109426](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109426)
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>22. Mahinpey N, Gomez A (2016) Review of gasifcation fundamentals and new fndings: reactors, feedstock, and kinetic studies. Chem Eng Sci 148:14–31. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2016.03.037) [2016.03.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2016.03.037)
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>23. Sapariya D, Patdiwala U, Makwana J et al (2023) Experimental study on effect of temperature and equivalence ratio on biomass syngas generation for fuidized bed gasifer techniques. Energy Sources, Part A Recover Util Environ Ef 45:5848–5863. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1080/15567036.2023.2211024) [doi.org/10.1080/15567036.2023.2211024](https://doi.org/10.1080/15567036.2023.2211024)
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>24. Cerone N, Zimbardi F, Contuzzi L et al (2020) Experimental investigation of syngas composition variation along updraft fxed bed gasifer. Energy Convers Manag 221:113116. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2020.113116) [doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2020.113116](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2020.113116)
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>25. Martínez LV, Rubiano JE, Figueredo M, Gómez MF (2020) Experimental study on the performance of gasification of corncobs in a downdraft fxed bed gasifer at various conditions. Renew Energy 148:1216–1226. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.10.034) [renene.2019.10.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.10.034)
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>26. Islam MW (2020) Efect of diferent gasifying agents (steam, H2O2, oxygen, CO2, and air) on gasifcation parameters. Int J Hydrogen Energy 45:31760–31774. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.09.002) [ijhydene.2020.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.09.002)
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>27. Song H, Yang G, Xue P, et al (2022) Recent development of biomass gasifcation for H2 rich gas production. Appl Energy Combust Sci 10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaecs.2022.100059>
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>28. Fremaux S, Beheshti SM, Ghassemi H, Shahsavan-Markadeh R (2015) An experimental study on hydrogen-rich gas production via steam gasifcation of biomass in a research-scale fuidized bed. Energy Convers Manag 91:427–432. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.12.048) [1016/j.enconman.2014.12.048](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.12.048)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>29. Mallick D, Mahanta P, Moholkar VS (2020) Co-gasifcation of biomass blends: performance evaluation in circulating fuidized bed gasifer. Energy 192. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.116682) [116682](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.116682)
- 30. Tri Setioputro N, Kosim M, Kasda, et al (2023) Investigation of reactor temperature and performance of syngas cooling system for vacuum gasifcation of soaked biomass. Case Stud Therm Eng 50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csite.2023.103430>
- 31. Fazil A, Kumar S, Mahajani SM (2023) Gasifcation and Cogasifcation of paper-rich, high-ash refuse-derived fuel in downdraft gasifer. Energy 263:125659. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2022.125659) [energy.2022.125659](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2022.125659)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>32. Narnaware SL, Panwar NL (2022) Biomass gasifcation for climate change mitigation and policy framework in India: a review. Bioresour Technol Reports 17. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2021.100892) [2021.100892](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2021.100892)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>33. Öztan H, Çapoğlu İK, Uysal D, Doğan ÖM (2023) A parametric study to optimize the temperature of hazelnut and walnut shell gasifcation for hydrogen and methane production. Bioresour Technol Reports 23:101581. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2023.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2023.101581) [101581](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2023.101581)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>34. Wang J, Xu S, Xiao B et al (2013) Infuence of catalyst and temperature on gasifcation performance of pig compost for hydrogen-rich gas production. Int J Hydrogen Energy 38:14200–14207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.08.075>
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>35. Gavarić N, Kladar N, Mišan A et al (2015) Postdistillation waste material of thyme (Thymus vulgaris L., Lamiaceae) as a potential source of biologically active compounds. Ind Crops Prod 74:457– 464.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.05.070>
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>36. Zaccardelli M, Roscigno G, Pane C et al (2021) Essential oils and quality composts sourced by recycling vegetable residues from the aromatic plant supply chain. Ind Crops Prod 162:113255. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113255) [doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113255](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113255)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>37. Tsimogiannis D, Choulitoudi E, Bimpilas A et al (2017) Exploitation of the biological potential of Satureja thymbra essential oil and distillation by-products. J Appl Res Med Aromat Plants 4:12–20.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmap.2016.07.002>
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>38. Yagoubi Y, Joy M, Ripoll G et al (2018) Rosemary distillation residues reduce lipid oxidation, increase alpha-tocopherol content and improve fatty acid profle of lamb meat. Meat Sci 136:23–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2017.10.007>
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>39. Basak BB, Saha A, Sarkar B et al (2021) Repurposing distillation waste biomass and low-value mineral resources through biochar-mineral-complex for sustainable production of high-value medicinal plants and soil quality improvement. Sci Total Environ 760:143319.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143319>
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>40. Saha A, Tripathy V, Basak BB, Kumar J (2018) Entrapment of distilled palmarosa (Cymbopogon martinii) wastes in alginate beads for adsorptive removal of methylene blue from aqueous solution. Environ Prog Sustain Energy 37:1942–1953. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ep.12872) [1002/ep.12872](https://doi.org/10.1002/ep.12872)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>41. Komarek AR, Manson H, Thiex N (1996) Crude fber determinations using the ANKOM system. ANKOM Technol Corp Publ 102:3–5
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>42. Sluiter A, Hames B, Ruiz R, et al (2008) Determination of structural carbohydrates and lignin in biomass - NREL/TP-510–42618. Natl Renew Energy Lab 17
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>43. Tezer O, Karabag N, Ozturk MU et al (2022) Comparison of green waste gasifcation performance in updraft and downdraft fxed bed gasifers. Int J Hydrogen Energy 47:31864–31876. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.04.077) [10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.04.077](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.04.077)
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>44 Yii LSB, Ismail WMSW, Yahya NF, Rasid RA (2019) The efect of operating temperature and equivalence ratio in an entrained flow gasification of EFB. Mater Today Proc 19:1373–1381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2019.11.155>
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>45. Siyal AA, Yang L, Ali B et al (2023) Characterization and quality analysis of biomass pellets prepared from furfural residue, sawdust, corn stalk and sewage sludge. Fuel Process Technol 241:107620.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2022.107620>
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>46. Yanik J, Kornmayer C, Saglam M, Yüksel M (2007) Fast pyrolysis of agricultural wastes: characterization of pyrolysis products. Fuel Process Technol 88:942–947. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2007.05.002) [2007.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2007.05.002)
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>47. Lesage-Meessen L, Bou M, Sigoillot JC et al (2015) Essential oils and distilled straws of lavender and lavandin: a review of current use and potential application in white biotechnology. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 99:3375–3385. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6511-7) [s00253-015-6511-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6511-7)
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>48. Saha A, Basak BB, Gajbhiye NA et al (2019) Sustainable fertilization through co-application of biochar and chemical fertilizers improves yield, quality of Andrographis paniculata and soil health. Ind Crops Prod 140:111607. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcr](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111607) [op.2019.111607](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111607)
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>49. Mishra D, Khare P, Singh DK et al (2018) Retention of antibacterial and antioxidant properties of lemongrass oil loaded on cellulose nanofbre-poly ethylene glycol composite. Ind Crops Prod 114:68–80.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.01.077>
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>50. Kostryukov SG, Matyakubov HB, Masterova YY et al (2023) Determination of lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose in plant materials by FTIR spectroscopy. J Anal Chem 78:718–727. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1061934823040093>
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>51. Gonzalez-Rivera J, Campanella B, Pulidori E, et al (2023) From volatiles to solid wastes: towards the full valorization of lavender and rosemary by simultaneous in situ microwaves and ultrasounds irradiation extraction. Ind Crops Prod 194. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2023.116362) [1016/j.indcrop.2023.116362](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2023.116362)
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>52. Gogoi M, Konwar K, Bhuyan N et al (2018) Assessments of pyrolysis kinetics and mechanisms of biomass residues using thermogravimetry. Bioresour Technol Reports 4:40–49. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2018.08.016) [doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2018.08.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2018.08.016)
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>53. Aldana H, Lozano FJ, Acevedo J, Mendoza A (2015) Thermogravimetric characterization and gasifcation of pecan nut shells. Bioresour Technol 198:634–641. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biort](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.09.069) [ech.2015.09.069](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.09.069)
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>54. Lee DJ (2022) Gasifcation of municipal solid waste (MSW) as a cleaner fnal disposal route: a mini-review. Bioresour Technol 344:126217.<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIORTECH.2021.126217>
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>55. Song Y, Tian Y, Zhou X et al (2021) Simulation of air-steam gasifcation of pine sawdust in an updraft gasifcation system for production of hydrogen-rich producer gas. Energy 226:120380. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.120380>
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>56. Cai J, Zeng R, Zheng W et al (2021) Synergistic efects of cogasifcation of municipal solid waste and biomass in fxed-bed gasifer. Process Saf Environ Prot 148:1–12. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2020.09.063) [1016/j.psep.2020.09.063](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2020.09.063)
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>57. Öngen A, Mercan Ş (2022) Production of hydrogen-rich syngas via gasifcation of refuse derived fuel within the scope of renewable energy. Int J Energy Appl Technol 9:64–70. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.31593/ijeat.1088741) [10.31593/ijeat.1088741](https://doi.org/10.31593/ijeat.1088741)
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>58. Wu Y, Ye X, Wang Y, Wang L (2023) Methane production from biomass by thermochemical conversion: a review. Catalysts 13. <https://doi.org/10.3390/catal13040771>
- <span id="page-13-28"></span>59. Rasmussen NBK, Aryal N (2020) Syngas production using straw pellet gasifcation in fuidized bed allothermal reactor under different temperature conditions. Fuel 263:116706. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2019.116706) [10.1016/j.fuel.2019.116706](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2019.116706)
- <span id="page-13-29"></span>60. Vikraman VK, Subramanian P, Kumar DP et al (2022) Air fowrate and particle size efect on gasifcation of arecanut husk with preheated air through waste heat recovery from syngas. Bioresour

Technol Reports 17:100977. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2022.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2022.100977) [100977](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2022.100977)

- <span id="page-14-0"></span>61. Upadhyay DS, Sakhiya AK, Panchal K et al (2019) Efect of equivalence ratio on the performance of the downdraft gasifer – an experimental and modelling approach. Energy 168:833–846. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.11.133>
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>62. Shayan E, Zare V, Mirzaee I (2018) Hydrogen production from biomass gasifcation; a theoretical comparison of using diferent gasifcation agents. Energy Convers Manag 159:30–41. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2017.12.096) [doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2017.12.096](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2017.12.096)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>63. Zhao J, Xie D, Wang S et al (2021) Hydrogen-rich syngas produced from co-gasifcation of municipal solid waste and wheat straw in an oxygen-enriched air fuidized bed. Int J Hydrogen Energy 46:18051–18063. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.02.137) [02.137](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.02.137)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>64 Yan F, Luo S-Y, Hu Z-Q et al (2010) Hydrogen-rich gas production by steam gasifcation of char from biomass fast pyrolysis in a fxed-bed reactor: ınfuence of temperature and steam on hydrogen yield and syngas composition. Bioresour Technol 101:5633–5637
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>65. Cao Y, Fu L, Mofrad A (2019) Combined-gasifcation of biomass and municipal solid waste in a fuidized bed gasifer. J Energy Inst 92:1683–1688. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joei.2019.01.006>
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>66. Zhang X, Kong G, Zhang X et al (2023) Gasifcation integrated with steam co-reforming of agricultural waste biomass over its derived CO2/O2/steam-mediated porous biochar for boosting H2-rich syngas production. J Environ Chem Eng 11:109556. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2023.109556>
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>67. Ismail TM, El-Salam MA (2017) Parametric studies on biomass gasifcation process on updraft gasifer high temperature air gasifcation. Appl Therm Eng 112:1460–1473. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2016.10.026) [1016/j.applthermaleng.2016.10.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2016.10.026)
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>68. Hu J, Li D, Lee DJ et al (2019) Integrated gasifcation and catalytic reforming syngas production from corn straw with mitigated greenhouse gas emission potential. Bioresour Technol 280:371– 377.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.02.064>
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>69. He M, Xiao B, Hu Z et al (2009) Syngas production from catalytic gasifcation of waste polyethylene: ınfuence of temperature on gas yield and composition. Int J Hydrogen Energy 34:1342–1348. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.12.023>
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>70. Ongen A, Ozcan HK, Elmaslar Ozbas E, Pangaliyev Y (2019) Gasifcation of waste tires in a circulating fxed-bed reactor within the scope of waste to energy. Clean Technol Environ Policy 21:1281–1291.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-019-01705-0>
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>71. Long HA, Wang T (2011) Case studies for biomass/coal cogasifcation in IGCC applications. In: Volume 1: aircraft engine; ceramics; coal, biomass and alternative fuels; Wind Turbine Technology. ASMEDC, pp 547–561. [https://doi.org/10.1115/](https://doi.org/10.1115/GT2011-45512) [GT2011-45512](https://doi.org/10.1115/GT2011-45512)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.