

Research Article

Solution of the Porous Media Equation by a Compact Finite Difference Method

Murat Sari

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Art and Science, Pamukkale University, 20070 Denizli, Turkey

Correspondence should be addressed to Murat Sari, msari@pau.edu.tr

Received 30 April 2008; Revised 10 October 2008; Accepted 16 January 2009

Recommended by Alois Steindl

Accurate solutions of the porous media equation that usually occurs in nonlinear problems of heat and mass transfer and in biological systems are obtained using a compact finite difference method in space and a low-storage total variation diminishing third-order Runge-Kutta scheme in time. In the calculation of the numerical derivatives, only a tridiagonal band matrix algorithm is encountered. Therefore, this scheme causes to less accumulation of numerical errors and less use of storage space. The computed results obtained by this way have been compared with the exact solutions to show the accuracy of the method. The approximate solutions to the equation have been computed without transforming the equation and without using linearization. Comparisons indicate that there is a very good agreement between the numerical solutions and the exact solutions in terms of accuracy. This method is seen to be a very good alternative method to some existing techniques for such realistic problems.

Copyright © 2009 Murat Sari. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Most physical phenomena and processes encountered in various fields of science are governed by partial differential equations. The nonlinear heat equation describing various physical phenomena, for instance see references [1–4], is called the porous media equation

$$u_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u^m u_x) + bu \quad (1.1)$$

with the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = f(x) \quad (1.2)$$

and the boundary conditions

$$u(0,t) = g_1(t), \quad u(1,t) = g_2(t). \quad (1.3)$$

Here m is a rational number while b is real constant. In (1.1)–(1.3), x and t denote derivatives with respect to space and time, respectively, when they are used as subscripts.

Computing the solutions that have a physical or biological interpretation for the nonlinear equations of the form (1.1) is of essential importance. This equation is often encountered in nonlinear problems of heat and mass transfer, combustion theory, and flows in porous media. For instance, it illustrates unsteady heat transfer in a quiescent medium with the heat diffusivity being a power-law function of temperature [5].

Equation (1.1) has also applications to many physical systems including the fluid dynamics of thin films [6]. How this model has been used to represent population pressure in biological systems was analyzed by Murray [7]. Equation (1.1) is known as a degenerate parabolic differential equation as the diffusion term $D(u) = u^m$ does not satisfy the condition for classical diffusion equations, $D(u) > 0$ [6].

For the motion of thin viscous films, (1.1) with $m = 3$ can be obtained from the Navier-Stokes equations. Lacking a physical law to describe the complex behavior in a system, an appropriate value for the parameter m can be determined by comparing known solutions with empirical data [6]. A number of analytical and numerical methods are available in the literature for the investigation of the solution of the corresponding equation [4, 8–13].

In this work, it is aimed to effectively employ a combination of a sixth-order compact finite difference (CFD6) scheme in space [14–16] and a low-storage total variation diminishing third-order Runge-Kutta (TVD-RK3) scheme in time [17, 18] to obtain accurate solutions of (1.1). Since the TVD-RK3 is an explicit time integration scheme, there is no need for linearization of the nonlinear terms.

2. The Compact Finite Difference Scheme

The compact finite difference schemes can be dealt within two essential categories: explicit compact and implicit compact approaches. Whilst the first category computes the numerical derivatives directly at each grid by using large stencils, the second one obtains all the numerical derivatives along a grid line using smaller stencils and solving a linear system of equations. Because of the reasons given previously, the present work uses the second approach. To attain the solutions of the equation, discretizations are needed in both space and time.

2.1. Discretization

Spatial derivatives are evaluated by the compact finite difference scheme [14]. For any scalar pointwise value u , the derivatives of u can be obtained by solving a tridiagonal or pentadiagonal system. Much work was done in deriving such formulae [14, 19]. More details on the formulae can be found in [14, 19]. A uniform one-dimensional mesh is considered,

Table 1: The absolute errors for various values of x and t for $N = 11$, $h = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.0001$ in Example 3.1.

x	t	Absolute error
0.10	0.01	1.07E-15
	0.10	1.08E-14
	1.00	6.51E-14
0.50	0.01	1.11E-15
	0.10	1.10E-14
	1.00	1.32E-13
0.90	0.01	1.33E-15
	0.10	3.11E-15
	1.00	3.51E-14

Table 2: The absolute errors for various values of x and t for $N = 11$, $h = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.0001$ in Example 3.2.

x	t	Absolute error
0.10	0.01	1.09E-14
	0.10	1.20E-14
	1.00	3.70E-14
0.50	0.01	1.28E-15
	0.10	2.32E-15
	1.00	4.80E-15
0.90	0.01	1.35E-14
	0.10	1.74E-14
	1.00	4.86E-14

consisting of N points: $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_N$. The mesh size is denoted by $h = x_{i+1} - x_i$. The first derivatives can be given at interior nodes as follows [14, 19]:

$$\alpha u'_{i-1} + u'_i + \alpha u'_{i+1} = b \frac{u_{i+2} - u_{i-2}}{4h} + a \frac{u_{i+1} - u_{i-1}}{2h} \quad (2.1)$$

which gives rise to an α -family of fourth-order tridiagonal schemes with

$$a = \frac{2}{3}(\alpha + 2), \quad b = \frac{1}{3}(4\alpha - 1), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\alpha = 0$ leads to the explicit fourth-order scheme for the first derivative. A sixth-order tridiagonal scheme is obtained by $\alpha = 1/3$,

$$\frac{1}{3}u'_{i-1} + u'_i + \frac{1}{3}u'_{i+1} = \frac{1}{9} \frac{u_{i+2} - u_{i-2}}{4h} + \frac{14}{9} \frac{u_{i+1} - u_{i-1}}{2h}. \quad (2.3)$$

For the nodes near the boundary, approximation formulae for the derivatives of nonperiodic problems can be derived with the consideration of one-sided schemes. More details on the

derivations for the first- and second-order derivatives can be found in [14, 19]. The derived formulae at boundary points 1, 2, $N - 1$, and N , respectively, are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 u'_i + 5u'_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{h} \left(-\frac{197}{60}u_i - \frac{5}{12}u_{i+1} + 5u_{i+2} - \frac{5}{3}u_{i+3} + \frac{5}{12}u_{i+4} - \frac{1}{20}u_{i+5} \right), \\
 \frac{2}{11}u'_{i-1} + u'_i + \frac{2}{11}u'_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{h} \left(-\frac{20}{33}u_{i-1} - \frac{35}{132}u_i + \frac{34}{33}u_{i+1} - \frac{7}{33}u_{i+2} + \frac{2}{33}u_{i+3} - \frac{1}{132}u_{i+4} \right), \\
 \frac{2}{11}u'_{i-1} + u'_i + \frac{2}{11}u'_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{h} \left(\frac{20}{33}u_{i+1} + \frac{35}{132}u_i - \frac{34}{33}u_{i-1} + \frac{7}{33}u_{i-2} - \frac{2}{33}u_{i-3} + \frac{1}{132}u_{i-4} \right), \\
 5u'_{i-1} + u'_i &= \frac{1}{h} \left(\frac{197}{60}u_i + \frac{5}{12}u_{i-1} - 5u_{i-2} + \frac{5}{3}u_{i-3} - \frac{5}{12}u_{i-4} + \frac{1}{20}u_{i-5} \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

In order to obtain the formulae presented above, the procedure of Gaitonde and Visbal [19] was followed. The formulae can be re-expressed as

$$\mathbf{BU}' = \mathbf{AU}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $U = (u_1, \dots, u_n)^T$. The second-order derivative terms are obtained by applying the first-order operator twice, that is,

$$\mathbf{BU}'' = \mathbf{AU}', \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{h} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{197}{60} & -\frac{5}{12} & 5 & -\frac{5}{3} & \frac{5}{12} & -\frac{1}{20} \\ -\frac{20}{33} & -\frac{35}{132} & \frac{34}{33} & -\frac{7}{33} & \frac{2}{33} & -\frac{1}{132} \\ -\frac{1}{36} & -\frac{7}{9} & 0 & \frac{7}{9} & \frac{1}{36} & \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ -\frac{1}{36} & -\frac{7}{9} & 0 & \frac{7}{9} & \frac{1}{36} & \\ \frac{1}{132} & -\frac{2}{33} & \frac{7}{33} & -\frac{34}{33} & \frac{35}{132} & \frac{20}{33} \\ \frac{1}{20} & -\frac{5}{12} & \frac{5}{3} & -5 & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{197}{60} \end{bmatrix}_{N \times N}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & & & & \\ \frac{2}{11} & 1 & \frac{2}{11} & & & \\ & \frac{1}{3} & 1 & \frac{1}{3} & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \frac{1}{3} & 1 & \frac{1}{3} \\ & & & & \frac{2}{11} & 1 & \frac{2}{11} \\ & & & & & 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{N \times N}. \tag{2.7}$$

In the calculation of the numerical derivatives, a tridiagonal band matrix algorithm is encountered in both (2.5) and (2.6).

In the current work, the equations are integrated in time with consideration of the low-storage TVD-RK3 scheme [17]. Application of the CFD6 technique to (1.1) leads to the following first-order ordinary differential equation in time:

$$\frac{du_i}{dt} = Lu_i, \quad (2.8)$$

where L stands for the differential operator. The spatial nonlinear terms are approximated by the CFD6 scheme as follows:

$$Lu_i = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u^m u_x) + bu \right)_i = (mu^{m-1}u_x^2 + u^m u_{xx} + bu)_i. \quad (2.9)$$

The choice of time integration is influenced by several factors such as desired accuracy, available memory, computer speed, and stability. A class of high-order TVD time discretization technique was developed by Gottlieb and Shu [17] for solving hyperbolic conservation laws with stable spatial discretizations. As was pointed out by them, unlike in the case of linear operators, there is no stability criterion for fully discrete methods where Lu is nonlinear. However, the TVD methods guarantee the stability properties expected of the forward Euler method [18]. The total variation (TV) of the numerical solution

$$TV(u) = \sum_i |u_{i+1} - u_i| \quad (2.10)$$

does not increase in time, that is, the TVD property holds:

$$TV(u^{k+1}) \leq TV(u^k). \quad (2.11)$$

More details can be found in [17, 18]. The scheme integrates equation (2.8) from time t_0 (step k) to $t_0 + \Delta t$ (step $k + 1$) through the operations [17, 18]

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^{(1)} &= u_i^k + \Delta t Lu_i^k, \\ u_i^{(2)} &= \frac{3}{4}u_i^k + \frac{1}{4}u_i^{(1)} + \frac{1}{4}\Delta t Lu_i^{(1)}, \\ u_i^{k+1} &= \frac{1}{3}u_i^k + \frac{2}{3}u_i^{(2)} + \frac{2}{3}\Delta t Lu_i^{(2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

The CFD6 scheme will be applied to physical models to illustrate the strength of the present method. Each spatial derivative on the right-hand side of (2.8) was computed with the use of the present method, and then the semidiscrete equation (2.8) was solved with the help of the low-storage explicit TVD-RK3 scheme. Thus, the solution is obtained without requiring neither linearization nor transformation. For the approximate $[a, b]$ solution of the problem (1.1) with the taken boundary and initial conditions using the current scheme, first the interval is discretized such that $a = x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_N = b$, where N is the number of grid points.

Table 3: The absolute errors for various values of x and t for $N = 11$, $h = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.0001$ in Example 3.3.

x	t	Absolute error
0.10	0.01	7.05E-13
	0.10	1.01E-12
	1.00	2.91E-11
0.50	0.01	1.07E-13
	0.10	2.09E-13
	1.00	3.72E-12
0.90	0.01	1.26E-12
	0.10	1.73E-12
	1.00	3.68E-11

Table 4: The absolute errors for various values of x and t for $N = 11$, $h = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.0001$ in Example 3.4.

x	t	Absolute error
0.10	0.01	8.10E-07
	0.10	1.22E-07
	1.00	1.92E-10
0.50	0.01	6.49E-08
	0.10	4.75E-07
	1.00	1.54E-11
0.90	0.01	1.01E-06
	0.10	1.00E-06
	1.00	1.85E-10

Table 5: Comparison of the CFD6 with the FD4 for various values of x and t with $\Delta t = 0.0001$, $N = 11$, computational domain $[1, 2]$ in Example 3.5.

x	t	Exact	Numerical		Absolute error	
			FD4	CFD6	FD4	CFD6
1.1	0.10	1.110366	1.110365	1.110367	1.38E-06	1.31E-06
	1.00	6.717324	6.717289	6.717331	3.45E-05	7.52E-06
	5.00	20024.059813	20023.956641	20024.082234	1.03E-01	2.24E-02
1.5	0.10	0.814269	0.814235	0.814268	3.33E-05	7.68E-07
	1.00	4.926037	4.925791	4.926030	2.47E-04	6.95E-06
	5.00	14684.310530	14683.574752	14684.289675	7.36E-01	2.09E-02
1.9	0.10	0.642844	0.642830	0.642842	1.34E-05	1.70E-06
	1.00	3.888977	3.888881	3.888964	9.61E-05	1.25E-05
	5.00	11592.876734	11592.589375	11592.839334	2.87E-01	3.74E-02

3. Numerical Illustrations

In order to see numerically whether the present methodology leads to accurate solutions, the CFD6 solutions are evaluated for some examples of the porous media equations given above.

Now, numerical solutions of the porous media equation are obtained to validate the current numerical scheme. To verify the efficiency, measure its accuracy and the versatility

Table 6: Convergence rate (CR) of the present scheme: Comparisons on various values of h , t and Δt for 3.5.

$t = 0.0001, \Delta t = 1.0E-06$			$t = 0.0003, \Delta t = 1.0E-06$			$t = 0.0003, \Delta t = 1.0E-05$		
h	Average absolute error	CR	h	Average absolute error	CR	h	Average absolute error	CR
1/10	5.84E-09		1/18	6.82E-10		1/18	8.95E-10	
1/15	6.86E-10	5.28	1/20	3.84E-10	5.45	1/20	5.06E-10	5.42
1/20	1.48E-10	5.34	1/22	2.27E-10	5.54	1/22	2.99E-10	5.51
1/25	4.36E-11	5.46	1/24	1.39E-10	5.62	1/24	1.84E-10	5.60
1/30	1.58E-11	5.57	1/26	8.80E-11	5.71	1/26	1.17E-10	5.68
1/35	6.57E-12	5.69	1/28	5.72E-11	5.80	1/28	7.60E-11	5.77
1/40	3.03E-12	5.80	1/30	3.81E-11	5.89	1/30	5.07E-11	5.86
1/45	1.51E-12	5.91	1/32	2.59E-11	5.98	1/32	3.45E-11	5.95
1/50	8.02E-13	6.02	1/34	1.79E-11	6.07	1/34	2.39E-11	6.05
1/55	4.47E-13	6.14	1/36	1.26E-11	6.16	1/36	1.68E-11	6.15

Table 7: The absolute errors for various values of x and N for $t = 0.05$ and $\Delta t = 1.0E-06$ in Example 3.2.

x	N	Absolute error
0.1	11	4.77E-14
	21	3.04E-14
	51	2.97E-14
	81	3.00E-14
	101	3.00E-14
0.5	11	8.17E-14
	21	7.66E-14
	51	7.64E-14
	81	7.68E-14
	101	7.68E-14
0.9	11	1.15E-13
	21	2.28E-14
	51	2.25E-14
	81	2.33E-14
	101	2.31E-14

of the present scheme for our problem in comparison with the exact solution, the absolute errors are reported which are defined by

$$|u^{\text{num}}(x_i, t_j) - u(x_i, t_j)| \quad (3.1)$$

in the point (x_i, t_j) , where $u^{\text{num}}(x_i, t_j)$ is the solution obtained by (2.8) solved by the combination suggested here, and $u(x_i, t_j)$ is the exact solution.

Consider the porous media equation in the form (1.1) with the initial condition (1.2) and boundary conditions (1.3). The results are compared with the analytical solutions. The numerical computations were performed using uniform grids. All computations were carried out using some codes produced in Visual Basic for Applications. All computations were performed using a laptop computer, Genuine Intel(R) T2500 2.00 GHz CPU, 997 MHz 1.00 GB

RAM. All computations were carried out using double-length arithmetic. The differences between the computed solutions and the exact solutions are shown in Tables 1–5, 7, 8. A comparison between the CFD6 solution and the solutions produced by a noncompact fourth-order finite difference scheme (FD4) has also been made in Table 5. In Table 6, computational orders of the method were calculated. In Table 7, the absolute errors were computed for various values of x and N for $t = 0.05$ and $\Delta t = 1.0\text{E-}06$ in Example 3.2. In Table 8, computation of the absolute errors was carried out for various values of x , Δt and N at $t = 0.05$ in Example 3.2.

As various problems of science were modeled by nonlinear partial differential equations, and since therefore the porous media equation is of high importance, the following examples [1, 4, 5, 10, 13, 20] have been considered. Computational domain $[a, b]$ is taken to be $[0, 1]$ in Examples 3.1–3.4 and $[1, 2]$ in Example 3.5. In Examples 3.1–3.4 $b = 0$, while $b = 2$ in Example 3.5.

Example 3.1 (see [13]). Let us take $m = 1$ and $b = 0$ in (1.1). Thus the equation becomes

$$u_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(uu_x) \quad (3.2)$$

with the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = x \quad (3.3)$$

subject to boundary conditions

$$u(0, t) = t, \quad u(1, t) = 1 + t. \quad (3.4)$$

An exact solution of (1.1) is

$$u(x, t) = x + t. \quad (3.5)$$

In Table 1, the absolute errors have been shown for various values of x and t . A comparison has been made between the results of the present scheme and the exact results. It is seen from the results that the current scheme are to be powerful and efficient.

Example 3.2 (see [5]). For $m = -1$ and $b = 0$, (1.1) becomes

$$u_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u^{-1}u_x). \quad (3.6)$$

In [5] the authors give an exact solution to (3.6) as follows:

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{c_1 x - c_1^2 t + c_2}, \quad (3.7)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary constants. For the sake of simplicity, $c_1 = 1$ and $c_2 = 10$. With these choices, the solution becomes

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{x - t + 10}. \quad (3.8)$$

Now (3.6) is solved with the consideration of the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = \frac{1}{x + 10} \quad (3.9)$$

and the boundary conditions

$$u(0, t) = \frac{1}{10 - t}, \quad u(1, t) = \frac{1}{9 - t}. \quad (3.10)$$

The absolute errors have been shown for various values of x and t in Table 2. Also, a comparison between the exact solution and the CFD6 solutions is given in Table 2. The obtained results are seen to be very accurate.

Example 3.3 (see [5]). When $m = -4/3$ and $b = 0$, (1.1) becomes

$$u_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u^{-4/3} u_x). \quad (3.11)$$

An exact solution to (3.11) is given as follows [5]:

$$u(x, t) = (2c_1 x - 3c_1^2 t + c_2)^{-3/4}, \quad (3.12)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary constants, $c_1 = 1$ and $c_2 = 10$. Thus, one has

$$u(x, t) = (2x - 3t + 10)^{-3/4}. \quad (3.13)$$

Now (3.11) is solved with the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = (2x + 10)^{-3/4} \quad (3.14)$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$u(0, t) = \frac{1}{10 - 3t}, \quad u(1, t) = \frac{1}{8 - 3t}. \quad (3.15)$$

The absolute errors have been shown for various values of x and t in Table 3. Also, a comparison between the exact solution and the CFD6 solution is given in Table 3. The computed results are seen to be very accurate.

Table 8: The absolute errors for various values of x , Δt and N for $t = 0.05$ in Example 3.2.

x	Δt	$N = 11$		$N = 21$	
		Absolute error	CPU (s)	Absolute error	CPU (s)
0.1	1.0E-04	1.11E-14	0.0	1.74E-04	0.0
	1.0E-05	7.09E-14	2.0	3.04E-15	3.0
	1.0E-06	4.77E-14	16.0	3.04E-14	30.0
	1.0E-07	2.49E-13	162.0	3.03E-13	295.0
	1.0E-08	3.25E-12	1640.0	3.27E-12	2964.0
0.5	1.0E-04	2.26E-15	0.0	8.19E-04	0.0
	1.0E-05	1.19E-14	2.0	7.61E-15	3.0
	1.0E-06	8.17E-14	16.0	7.66E-14	30.0
	1.0E-07	8.26E-13	162.0	7.84E-13	295.0
	1.0E-08	8.74E-12	1640.0	8.62E-12	2964.0
0.9	1.0E-04	1.65E-14	0.00	7.02E-04	0.0
	1.0E-05	8.23E-14	2.00	2.50E-15	3.0
	1.0E-06	1.15E-13	16.00	2.28E-14	30.0
	1.0E-07	3.66E-13	162.00	2.12E-13	295.0
	1.0E-08	3.07E-12	1640.00	2.76E-12	2964.0

Example 3.4 (see [1, 4, 10]). when $m = -2$ and $b = 0$, (1.1) represents different nonlinear models and becomes

$$u_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u^{-2}u_x). \quad (3.16)$$

An exact solution of (3.16) is

$$u(x, t) = (x^2 + e^{2t})^{-1/2}. \quad (3.17)$$

Now (3.16) is solved with the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = (x^2 + 1)^{-1/2} \quad (3.18)$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$u(0, t) = e^{-t}, \quad u(1, t) = (1 + e^{2t})^{-1/2} \quad (3.19)$$

The computed results for this example have been given for various values of x and t in Table 4. The results of the combination of the CFD6 method with the low-storage explicit TVD-RK3 have been presented in the table. Comparisons of the current results with the exact solution showed that the presented results are very accurate.

Example 3.5 (see [20]). When $m = -2$ and $b = 2$, (1.1) represents nonlinear realistic models and becomes

$$u_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u^{-2}u_x) + 2u. \quad (3.20)$$

An exact solution of (3.20) is [18]

$$u(x, t) = x^{-1}e^{2t}. \quad (3.21)$$

Now (3.20) is solved with the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = x^{-1} \quad (3.22)$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$u(1, t) = e^{2t}, \quad u(2, t) = \frac{e^{2t}}{2}. \quad (3.23)$$

In Table 5, computation of the absolute errors was carried out for various values of x and t in Example 3.5. To see the effect of the CFD6 solution, Example 3.5 was also solved using the FD4. Note that the effect of the CFD6 solutions is very clear as seen in Table 5. Numerical rate of convergence (CR) has also been studied to know about the convergency of the scheme. To achieve this, the CR was calculated using

$$\text{CR} = \frac{\log(E_1/E_2)}{\log(h_1/h_2)}, \quad (3.24)$$

where E_1 and E_2 are errors correspond to grids with mesh size h_1 and h_2 , respectively, (see Table 6). Also computational orders in Table 7 show the high-order accuracy of the present method for solving such problems.

In this paper, it is shown that the approximate solutions of the porous media equation are very close to the exact solutions. The absolute errors have been calculated for $m = 1$, $m = -1$, and $m = -4/3$, and $m = -2$, in Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively, in the point (x_i, t_j) . For all four cases $b = 0$, but $m = -2$ is used twice, the second one with $b = 2$ in Example 3.5. As seen from Tables 1–5 and 7–8, the absolute errors in all cases are very small. Very good approximations to the exact solutions are achieved.

The method was also applied to realistic problem sizes (see Table 7), and seen that the solution becomes stable for small number of grid points. Increasing in the number of grid points requires small time step size for satisfying numerical stability of the solutions. This situation makes necessary the use of excessive CPU time and gives rise to accumulation of numerical errors (see Tables 7 and 8). Compact high-order schemes are closer to spectral methods [14] and at the same time maintain the freedom to retain accuracy even though relatively small number of grid points is used. Note that the same error is obtained for all discretizations; the error is close to the level of machine accuracy, and this is believed to be a saturation effect (see Table 7).

4. Conclusions

In this paper, numerical simulations of the porous media equation were dealt with using a combination of the CFD6 scheme in space and a low-storage explicit TVD-RK3 scheme in time. The method successfully worked to give very reliable and accurate solutions to the equation. The method gives convergent approximations and handles nonlinear problems. In this method, there is no need for linearization of nonlinear terms. Nonlinear scientific models arise frequently in scientific problems for expressing nonlinear phenomena. For nonlinear problems, the present method is seen to be a very good choice to achieve a high degree of accuracy while dealing with the problems. The computed results justify the advantage of this method. The present method needs less use of storage space.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank anonymous referees of the journal of MPE for their valuable comments and suggestions to improve most of this paper. The author is very grateful to G. Gürarşlan (Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Pamukkale University, Denizli, Turkey) for his comments and careful reading the paper.

References

- [1] E. A. Saied, "The non-classical solution of the inhomogeneous non-linear diffusion equation," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 98, no. 2-3, pp. 103–108, 1999.
- [2] E. A. Saied and M. M. Hussein, "New classes of similarity solutions of the inhomogeneous nonlinear diffusion equations," *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 27, no. 14, pp. 4867–4874, 1994.
- [3] L. Dresner, *Similarity Solutions of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 88 of *Research Notes in Mathematics*, Pitman, Boston, Mass, USA, 1983.
- [4] Q. Changzheng, "Exact solutions to nonlinear diffusion equations obtained by a generalized conditional symmetry method," *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 283–302, 1999.
- [5] A. D. Polyanin and V. F. Zaitsev, *Handbook of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, USA, 2004.
- [6] T. P. Witelski, "Segregation and mixing in degenerate diffusion in population dynamics," *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 695–712, 1997.
- [7] J. D. Murray, *Mathematical Biology*, vol. 19 of *Biomathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2nd edition, 1993.
- [8] J. R. King, "Exact results for the nonlinear diffusion equations $\partial u/\partial t = (\partial/\partial x)(u^{-4/3}\partial u/\partial x)$ and $\partial u/\partial t = (\partial/\partial x)(u^{-2/3}\partial u/\partial x)$," *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 24, no. 24, pp. 5721–5745, 1991.
- [9] W. F. Ames, *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations in Engineering. Vol. II*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 18, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1972.
- [10] A.-M. Wazwaz, "Exact solutions to nonlinear diffusion equations obtained by the decomposition method," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 123, no. 1, pp. 109–122, 2001.
- [11] A. Sadighi and D. D. Ganji, "Exact solutions of nonlinear diffusion equations by variational iteration method," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 54, no. 7-8, pp. 1112–1121, 2007.
- [12] A.-M. Wazwaz, "The variational iteration method: a powerful scheme for handling linear and nonlinear diffusion equations," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 54, no. 7-8, pp. 933–939, 2007.
- [13] S. Pamuk, "Solution of the porous media equation by Adomian's decomposition method," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 344, no. 2-4, pp. 184–188, 2005.
- [14] S. K. Lele, "Compact finite difference schemes with spectral-like resolution," *Journal of Computational Physics*, vol. 103, no. 1, pp. 16–42, 1992.
- [15] M. Sari and G. Gürarşlan, "A sixth-order compact finite difference scheme to the numerical solutions of Burgers' equation," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 208, no. 2, pp. 475–483, 2009.

- [16] M. Sari, G. Gürarlan, and İ. Dağ, "A compact finite difference method for the solution of the generalized Burgers-Fisher equation," *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*. In press.
- [17] S. Gottlieb and C.-W. Shu, "Total variation diminishing Runge-Kutta schemes," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 67, no. 221, pp. 73–85, 1998.
- [18] S. Gottlieb, C.-W. Shu, and E. Tadmor, "Strong stability-preserving high-order time discretization methods," *SIAM Review*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 89–112, 2001.
- [19] D. V. Gaitonde and M. R. Visbal, "High-order schemes for Navier–Stokes equations: algorithms and Implementation into FDL3DI," Tech. Rep. AFRL-VA-WP-TR-1998-3060, Air Force Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson AFB, Dayton, Ohio, USA, 1998.
- [20] N. Pamuk, "Series solution for porous medium equation with a source term by Adomian's decomposition method," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 178, no. 2, pp. 480–485, 2006.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

