

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

2-Amino-5-nitrobenzoic acid


 Hakkı Yasin Odabaşoğlu,^a Orhan Büyükgüngör,^{b*}
 Osman Ozan Avinç^a and Mustafa Odabaşoğlu^c
^aDepartment of Textile Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Pamukkale University, TR-20070 Kınıklı Denizli, Turkey, ^bDepartment of Physics, Faculty of Arts & Science, Ondokuz Mayıs University, TR-55139 Kurupelit Samsun, Turkey, and ^cDepartment of Chemical Technology, Pamukkale University, TR-20070 Kınıklı Denizli, Turkey
 Correspondence e-mail: orhanb@omu.edu.tr

Received 12 January 2012; accepted 19 January 2012

 Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 296$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004$ Å;
 R factor = 0.064; wR factor = 0.127; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.3.

 In the title compound, $\text{C}_7\text{H}_6\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$, an intramolecular $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bond generates an $S(6)$ ring. In the crystal, inversion dimers linked by pairs of $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds generate $R_2^2(8)$ loops. Intermolecular $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ and $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds then link the dimers, generating $R_3^3(16)R_2^1(6)$ motifs. The whole molecule is essentially planar, with the greatest deviation from the mean plane being 0.065 (2) Å.

Related literature

 For related structures of carboxylic acids, see: Mrozek & Glowiak (2004); Raza *et al.* (2010); Grabowski & Krygowski (1985). For hydrogen-bond motifs, see: Bernstein *et al.* (1995). For general background to *o*-aminocarboxylic acids, see: Fierz *et al.* (1949); Shore (2002).


Experimental

Crystal data

 $\text{C}_7\text{H}_6\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$
 $M_r = 182.14$
 Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
 $a = 3.7026$ (3) Å

 $b = 17.4638$ (16) Å
 $c = 11.6953$ (10) Å
 $\beta = 92.210$ (7)°
 $V = 755.67$ (11) Å³
 $Z = 4$
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.13$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 296$ K
 $0.55 \times 0.23 \times 0.06$ mm

Data collection

 Stoe IPDS II diffractometer
 Absorption correction: integration
 ($X\text{-RED32}$; Stoe & Cie, 2002)
 $T_{\min} = 0.964$, $T_{\max} = 0.992$

 5176 measured reflections
 1567 independent reflections
 884 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.077$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.064$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.127$
 $S = 0.99$
 1567 reflections

 118 parameters
 H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.18$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.15$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{N1}-\text{H7}\cdots\text{O1}$	0.86	2.06	2.694 (3)	130
$\text{N1}-\text{H7}\cdots\text{O4}^{\text{i}}$	0.86	2.47	3.030 (3)	123
$\text{N1}-\text{H8}\cdots\text{O3}^{\text{ii}}$	0.86	2.39	3.192 (4)	155
$\text{O2}-\text{H2}\cdots\text{O1}^{\text{iii}}$	0.82	1.81	2.631 (3)	174
$\text{C6}-\text{H6}\cdots\text{O3}^{\text{ii}}$	0.93	2.54	3.347 (4)	145 (3)

 Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (ii) $x - 1, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $-x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 2$.

 Data collection: $X\text{-AREA}$ (Stoe & Cie, 2002); cell refinement: $X\text{-AREA}$; data reduction: $X\text{-RED32}$ (Stoe & Cie, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: $SHELXS97$ (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: $SHELXL97$ (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: $ORTEP-3$ for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: $WinGX$ (Farrugia, 1999).

The authors acknowledge the Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Turkey, for the use of the Stoe IPDS II diffractometer (purchased under grant F.279 of the University Research Fund).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FK2050).

References

- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimon, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **34**, 1555–1573.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **32**, 837–838.
- Fierz, H. E., Blangey, D. & Blangey, L. (1949). *Fundamental Processes of Dye Chemistry*, translated from the fifth Austrian edition by P. W. Vittum, p. 323. Rochester, New York: Eastman Kodak Company.
- Grabowski, S. J. & Krygowski, T. M. (1985). *Acta Cryst.* **C41**, 1224–1226.
- Mrozek, R. & Glowiak, T. (2004). *J. Chem. Crystallogr.* **34**, 153–157.
- Raza, A. R., Rubab, S. L. & Tahir, M. N. (2010). *Acta Cryst.* **E66**, o1484.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Shore, J. (2002). *Colorants and Auxiliaries*, 2nd ed., Vol. 1, pp. 132, 216, 234, 296. Hampshire, England: Society of Dyers and Colourists.
- Stoe & Cie (2002). $X\text{-AREA}$ and $X\text{-RED32}$. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, o511 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812002474]

2-Amino-5-nitrobenzoic acid

Hakkı Yasin Odabaşoğlu, Orhan Büyükgüngör, Osman Ozan Avinç and Mustafa Odabaşoğlu

S1. Comment

Most dyes contain groups known as auxochromes (colour helpers), examples of which are carboxylic acid, sulfonic acid, amino, and hydroxyl groups. While these groups are not responsible for colour, their presence can shift the colour of a colourant and they are most often used to influence dye solubility. Aminocarboxylic acids dissolve as easily in carbonate solution as does benzoic acid, and as easily in aqueous hydrochloric acid as does aniline. *o*-Aminocarboxylic acids are used for synthesis of azo dyes and indigo dyes (Fierz *et al.*, 1949; Shore, 2002). Functional groups such as carboxylic acids are completely inert in the reaction conditions for the azo coupling reaction. Taking into account these important features of the *o*-aminocarboxylic acids for the dye synthesis, we have undertaken the X-ray diffraction study of the 2-amino-5-nitrobenzoic acid, (I) (Fig. 1), in order to understand the molecular features which stabilize its observed conformation.

In previous works, 5-amino-2-nitrobenzoic acid (Mrozek & Glowiak, 2004), 2-Methylamino-5-nitrobenzoic acid (Raza *et al.*, 2010), 2,5-dinitrobenzoic acid (Grabowski & Krygowski, 1985) have been published whose molecular structures are similar to the title compound.

(I) is essentially planar, the largest deviation from the mean plane being -0.065 (2) Å for atom O1. The crystal packing is stabilized by N-H \cdots O, O-H \cdots O and C-H \cdots O hydrogen bonds. There exists an S(6) ring motif (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995) due to the N-H \cdots O intramolecular bond. Molecules are connected by intermolecular O-H \cdots O hydrogen bonds to form centrosymmetric dimers with $R_2^2(8)$ ring motifs. Other hydrogen bonds generate $R_3^3(16)R_2^1(6)$ motifs (Fig. 2 and Table 1).

S2. Experimental

Yellow needles of 2-amino-5-nitrobenzoic acid were obtained by slow evaporation of the analytical reagent (Alfa Aesar) from ethyl alcohol solution (m.p. 543 K).

S3. Refinement

The H(O) position was derived from Fourier maps (HFIX 147), other H atoms were positioned geometrically and all were constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with 0.86 Å for N—H, 0.93 Å for aromatic C—H and 0.82 Å for O—H. The $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = xU_{\text{eq}}(\text{C/N})$, where $x = 1.2$ for H(N,C) and $x = 1.5$ for H(O).




Figure 1

Molecular structure of (I). Anisotropic displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% probability level.




Figure 2

Crystal packing of (I), with hydrogen bonds drawn as dashed lines. [Symmetry codes: (i) $x + 1/2, 1 - y, 2 - z$; (ii) $x - 1/2, y + 1/2, 3/2 - z$; (iii) $1/2 - x, 1/2 - y, z - 1/2$].

2-Amino-5-nitrobenzoic acid

Crystal data

$C_7H_6N_2O_4$

$M_r = 182.14$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$

Hall symbol: $-P 2_1/c$

$a = 3.7026 (3) \text{ \AA}$

$b = 17.4638 (16) \text{ \AA}$

$c = 11.6953 (10) \text{ \AA}$

$\beta = 92.210 (7)^\circ$

$V = 755.67 (11) \text{ \AA}^3$

$Z = 4$

$F(000) = 376$

$D_x = 1.601 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$

Cell parameters from 4323 reflections

$\theta = 1.7\text{--}28.0^\circ$

$\mu = 0.13 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

$T = 296 \text{ K}$

Needle, orange

$0.55 \times 0.23 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Stoe IPDS II diffractometer	5176 measured reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1567 independent reflections
Graphite monochromator	884 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Detector resolution: 6.67 pixels mm ⁻¹	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.077$
rotation method scans	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.5^\circ$, $\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.1^\circ$
Absorption correction: integration (<i>X-RED32</i> ; Stoe & Cie, 2002)	$h = -4 \rightarrow 4$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.964$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.992$	$k = -21 \rightarrow 21$
	$l = -13 \rightarrow 14$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: geom and difmap
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.064$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.127$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0486P)^2]$
$S = 0.99$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
1567 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
118 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.18 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.15 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C1	0.6059 (8)	0.35123 (17)	0.7289 (3)	0.0393 (7)
C2	0.7771 (8)	0.34418 (16)	0.8394 (2)	0.0346 (7)
C3	0.8661 (8)	0.27231 (16)	0.8809 (3)	0.0370 (7)
H3	0.9810	0.2678	0.9527	0.044*
C4	0.7890 (8)	0.20744 (16)	0.8187 (3)	0.0380 (7)
C5	0.6210 (9)	0.21311 (18)	0.7098 (3)	0.0444 (8)
H5	0.5688	0.1692	0.6673	0.053*
C6	0.5351 (9)	0.28294 (17)	0.6667 (3)	0.0431 (8)
H6	0.4261	0.2862	0.5939	0.052*
C7	0.8660 (8)	0.41190 (17)	0.9097 (2)	0.0383 (7)
N1	0.5107 (8)	0.41812 (15)	0.6824 (2)	0.0548 (8)
H8	0.4074	0.4196	0.6154	0.066*
H7	0.5526	0.4599	0.7195	0.066*
N2	0.8912 (8)	0.13354 (14)	0.8636 (2)	0.0502 (7)
O1	0.7787 (6)	0.47709 (12)	0.88101 (17)	0.0513 (6)
O2	1.0433 (6)	0.39701 (12)	1.00684 (18)	0.0528 (7)

H2	1.0837	0.4371	1.0415	0.079*
O3	1.0514 (7)	0.12980 (13)	0.9571 (2)	0.0681 (8)
O4	0.8171 (9)	0.07683 (14)	0.8068 (2)	0.0845 (10)

Atomic displacement parameters (Å²)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0370 (18)	0.0391 (17)	0.0415 (17)	0.0007 (14)	-0.0015 (13)	0.0015 (13)
C2	0.0378 (17)	0.0336 (16)	0.0325 (15)	-0.0016 (14)	-0.0002 (12)	-0.0043 (13)
C3	0.0391 (18)	0.0395 (16)	0.0321 (16)	-0.0021 (14)	-0.0016 (13)	-0.0019 (12)
C4	0.0395 (18)	0.0330 (16)	0.0416 (18)	-0.0020 (13)	0.0014 (13)	-0.0032 (13)
C5	0.048 (2)	0.0421 (19)	0.0429 (18)	-0.0067 (15)	-0.0033 (14)	-0.0124 (14)
C6	0.0469 (19)	0.047 (2)	0.0346 (17)	-0.0021 (15)	-0.0062 (14)	-0.0048 (13)
C7	0.0434 (19)	0.0348 (18)	0.0365 (16)	-0.0006 (14)	-0.0019 (14)	-0.0014 (13)
N1	0.080 (2)	0.0407 (15)	0.0426 (15)	0.0062 (15)	-0.0147 (14)	0.0012 (12)
N2	0.0641 (19)	0.0336 (15)	0.0525 (17)	-0.0013 (14)	-0.0031 (14)	-0.0037 (13)
O1	0.0766 (17)	0.0322 (12)	0.0440 (12)	-0.0002 (11)	-0.0120 (11)	-0.0020 (10)
O2	0.0786 (17)	0.0343 (11)	0.0440 (13)	-0.0030 (11)	-0.0187 (12)	-0.0061 (9)
O3	0.101 (2)	0.0436 (14)	0.0573 (15)	0.0040 (13)	-0.0228 (15)	0.0032 (11)
O4	0.134 (3)	0.0334 (14)	0.084 (2)	-0.0019 (15)	-0.0263 (18)	-0.0118 (13)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—N1	1.330 (4)	C5—H5	0.9300
C1—C6	1.416 (4)	C6—H6	0.9300
C1—C2	1.423 (4)	C7—O1	1.227 (3)
C2—C3	1.381 (4)	C7—O2	1.316 (3)
C2—C7	1.470 (4)	N1—H8	0.8600
C3—C4	1.370 (4)	N1—H7	0.8600
C3—H3	0.9300	N2—O4	1.218 (3)
C4—C5	1.399 (4)	N2—O3	1.226 (3)
C4—N2	1.439 (4)	O2—H2	0.8200
C5—C6	1.353 (4)		
N1—C1—C6	119.3 (3)	C4—C5—H5	120.2
N1—C1—C2	123.3 (3)	C5—C6—C1	122.1 (3)
C6—C1—C2	117.4 (3)	C5—C6—H6	118.9
C3—C2—C1	119.3 (3)	C1—C6—H6	118.9
C3—C2—C7	119.3 (3)	O1—C7—O2	122.5 (3)
C1—C2—C7	121.4 (3)	O1—C7—C2	122.9 (3)
C4—C3—C2	121.5 (3)	O2—C7—C2	114.7 (3)
C4—C3—H3	119.2	C1—N1—H8	120.0
C2—C3—H3	119.2	C1—N1—H7	120.0
C3—C4—C5	120.1 (3)	H8—N1—H7	120.0
C3—C4—N2	120.1 (3)	O4—N2—O3	122.3 (3)
C5—C4—N2	119.8 (3)	O4—N2—C4	118.7 (3)
C6—C5—C4	119.5 (3)	O3—N2—C4	119.0 (3)
C6—C5—H5	120.2	C7—O2—H2	109.5

N1—C1—C2—C3	179.9 (3)	N1—C1—C6—C5	-179.1 (3)
C6—C1—C2—C3	0.1 (4)	C2—C1—C6—C5	0.8 (4)
N1—C1—C2—C7	-1.0 (4)	C3—C2—C7—O1	-176.2 (3)
C6—C1—C2—C7	179.1 (3)	C1—C2—C7—O1	4.8 (5)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-0.9 (4)	C3—C2—C7—O2	3.1 (4)
C7—C2—C3—C4	180.0 (3)	C1—C2—C7—O2	-176.0 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	1.0 (5)	C3—C4—N2—O4	179.5 (3)
C2—C3—C4—N2	178.9 (3)	C5—C4—N2—O4	-2.5 (5)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.1 (5)	C3—C4—N2—O3	-0.9 (5)
N2—C4—C5—C6	-178.1 (3)	C5—C4—N2—O3	177.0 (3)
C4—C5—C6—C1	-0.7 (5)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>
N1—H7 \cdots O1	0.86	2.06	2.694 (3)	130
N1—H7 \cdots O4 ⁱ	0.86	2.47	3.030 (3)	123
N1—H8 \cdots O3 ⁱⁱ	0.86	2.39	3.192 (4)	155
O2—H2 \cdots O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.82	1.81	2.631 (3)	174
C6—H6 \cdots O3 ⁱⁱ	0.93	2.54	3.347 (4)	145 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, y+1/2, -z+3/2$; (ii) $x-1, -y+1/2, z-1/2$; (iii) $-x+2, -y+1, -z+2$.